

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_103200
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Community Hiv Index Testing Among Hiv Index Clients In Yirol West County, South Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article is relevant to understand the behavior or variability of the use of community index HIV testing services among HIV index clients in Yirol West County, South Sudan, being relevant because it has implications for public health not only in Sudan but worldwide for the understanding, comprehensive and more effective approach to HIV/AIDS. It presents important information and structures the information appropriately, fulfilling its objective of identifying the aspects that influence the use of community HIV index testing services.</p> <p>The abstract is well structured, it shows the background, the objective, the method and the main results, as well as specifying the most relevant conclusions.</p> <p>The introduction contains information that accounts for the state of the art, the impact of HIV/AIDS worldwide and specifically the reality of Yirol West County, and the situation of lack of knowledge in terms of community index HIV testing among HIV index clients in Yirol West County, South Sudan, showing the problem of lack of data in Nigeria.</p> <p>The study method is clear, adequate and accounts for the type of study, the research design, the population, the sampling technique, the instruments used, the validity and reliability tests, as well as the way in which the results were analyzed. .</p> <p>The results chapter reflects the results of the study. The tables are clear and provide the necessary information to understand the importance of the study. It is necessary to correct in Table 1, the second age range, since it is indicated 39-29 and should be 30-39.</p> <p>The discussion is adequate and contrasts the results obtained with other studies, giving greater support to the research, relevant conclusions are required and this shows the importance and impact of the study. It is a study with important contributions to public health that can guide the strengthening of national and international efforts in HIV care and community services. Study limitations and ethical considerations are also appropriate.</p> <p>Finally, they could specify recommendations for future studies on this topic.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the quality of the language of the article is adequate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristian Leonardo Santamaría Galeano
Department, University & Country	Centro Integral de Psicología Contextual, Chile