

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_103686
Title of the Manuscript:	Pneumocephalous : Radiological Presentation of Intra-cranial Hypotension after Spinal Anaesthesia
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmPCR.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract should be rewritten and main point emphasized.</p> <p>The whole manuscript needs extensive revision.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references should newer.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript needs language editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic of the manuscript is interesting but there are other similar works. Some of them are cited like <i>Thongtan T, Julayanont P, Test V Pneumocephalus: a radiological presentation of intracranial hypotension secondary to spinal anaesthesia BMJ Case Reports CP 2021;14:e239750.. The manuscript should be extensively rewritten. Lots of data of the patient are missing. The clear and precise aim is missing. The case report should be more extensive and detailed. The new references should be influenced in the manuscript.</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vlatka Sotošek
Department, University & Country	University of Rijeka, Croatia