

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_103686
Title of the Manuscript:	Pneumocephalous : Radiological Presentation of Intra-cranial Hypotension after Spinal Anaesthesia
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Pneumocephalus (also known as pneumatocele or intracranial aerocele) is defined as the presence of air in the epidural, subdural, or subarachnoid space within the brain parenchyma or ventricular cavities. It is not often followed by symptoms, but, when present, severe headache is the most frequent. Here, the author reports rare cases of pneumocephalus after spinal anaesthesia and was treated symptomatically with oxygen and analgesic and patient recovered.</p> <p>Yes, Appropriate</p> <p>Yes, abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, Subsection and contents of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>Yes, its a case report</p> <p>Add recent references and delete very old references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, language of the article is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Article is very well written. Before CT image is depicted in article which give self explanatory results of the work done. Add post treatment CT image also</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rukmani Dewangan
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary Science & A. H., India