

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104416
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality of Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1- Yes, tomato is one of the most important vegetable crops cultivated for its fleshy fruits all over the world. Tomatoes are important to agriculture, human nutrition and cuisines globally. Therefore, it is important to try to improve the yield and quality of tomatoes with the help of various types of growth regulators. 2- Yes 3- No, it was obscure. The importance and conclusion are missing! 4- Yes 5- Yes, but it must be revised according to the comments. 6- No, in most cases, no references were provided.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are several grammatical and dictation mistakes throughout the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samaneh Samavat
Department, University & Country	Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Iran