

## **Original Research Article**

### **EFFECT OF BIO-FERTILIZERS ON YIELD AND ITS ATTRIBUTING TRAITS ON FENNEL (*Foeniculum vulgare* L.)**

#### **ABSTRACT**

The present investigation entitled **Effect of bio-fertilizers on yield and its attributing traits on fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* L.)** was carried out during October, 2022 to April 2023 at Horticultural Research Field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, it was concluded that the application of bio fertilizer treatments rendered their significant effect on almost all the growth and yield characters as well as quality of fennel (At least two season crop data should be compared, good results not possible in six months study). The treatment T7, i.e. 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) application was found superior in terms of plant height (203.47cm), number of branches (15.55), days taken for 50% flowering (105.33 days), days taken for maturity (159.68 days), number of umbel per plant (14.61), number of umbellates per umbel (23.16), number of seeds per umbellates (33.90), seed yield per plant (30.80 g), seed yield per hectare (2.41 t/ha) and test weight (7.17 g). Among the different treatments the highest Gross return (Rs/ha) (3,61,500), Net return (Rs/ha) (2,42,04.7), benefit cost ratio (3.02) was also obtained from treatment (T7), that is, 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha).

**Key words:** Fennel, Bio fertilizers, growth, yield Azotobacter, Azospirillum

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) is one of the most important seed spice crops grown in India belongs to the family Apiaceae (Umbelliferae), grown for its seeds. It is widely cultivated throughout the temperate and tropical regions of the world and is thought to be the native of

southern Europe and Mediterranean region. It is perennial, but it is grown as annual or biennial. It is cultivated throughout the temperate and subtropical regions of the world for its aromatic seeds which are used for culinary purpose. Plants have dark green or bronze wispy leaves with yellow flowers on compound umbels and are cross pollinated.

The seeds of fennel have been used for their flavour and spice in food industry. Fennel seeds are useful in various ailments as it contains phytonutrients and antioxidants. The anethole, makes fennel highly nutritious and powerful. It has been used to stimulate lactation in animals. It is a remedy against colic, cough and asthma and is a safeguard against blindness (**Slmonet al., 1984**). It has number of pharmaceutical uses and it is the most important medicinal crop that finds use in the indigenous 'Unani' and allopathic system of medicines. **Phytochemicals found in fennel fruit have been shown in bio pharmacological investigations to be effective as an antioxidant, anticancer, antibacterial, antifungal, antithrombotic, anti-inflammatory, chemopreventive, hepatoprotective, memory-enhancing, anti-aging, antidiabetic, and insecticide (Hossam et al .2023)**

India accounts about 45% of the global spice export. Major production centres of fennel in India are Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Punjab, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh and Karnataka. In India, fennel is cultivated over an area of 1,00,000 ha with production of 1,43,000 m ton and productivity of 1430 kg/ha. (**Sharma et al, 2017**).

Indian farmers pay reasonable attention to cultivation, especially in respect of seed bed preparation, manuring and irrigation, however sufficient attention has not been paid to fertilizer management aspect which remains one of the constraints in boosting up the production. Among the several agro techniques, the proper supply of major nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are of greater importance. Nitrogen is an essential constituent of protein, chlorophyll and nitrogen is present in many compounds of physiological importance in crop metabolism such as nucleotides, phospholipids, alkaloids, enzymes, hormones and vitamins etc. Nitrogen promotes growth of leaves and stem. Phosphorus, being the constituent of nucleic acid and phospholipids is also very essential for proper development of crops. It imparts hardness to shoot, improves grain quality, regulates photosynthesis, governs physiochemical processes and helps in the enlargement of cell, develop resistant to diseases and fixation of phosphorus.

Bio fertilizer (**Definition: Bio-fertilizers are biological preparations of efficient microorganisms that promote plant growth by improving nutrient acquisition**) plays an important role in crop production as it acts on soil physical properties, facilitates the proper movement of air, water as well as absorption of rain water. It adds plant nutrient to the soil and organic acid during dry matter decomposition, which acts on the insoluble nutrient reserve in the soil and make them available. Bio fertilizer or microbial preparations of live or latent cells of efficient strain of nitrogen fixing microorganism in soil or rhizosphere and consequently improve the extent of microbiologically fixed nitrogen for plant growth. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) solubilizes the unavailable bound phosphate of the soil and make them available to plants which increase overall plant growth thus helps to improve quality and quantity of yield. Azospirillum is an associate symbiotic nitrogen fixer, aerobic free living does the job of making the atmospheric nitrogen available to various crop. Azotobacter spp. are non-symbiotic heterotrophic bacteria capable of fixing an average 20 kg N/ha per year (**Kizilkaya R, 2009**). It can fix nitrogen directly from the atmosphere that help plants for better grain production. Azotobacter plays an important role to fix nitrogen in the nitrogen cycle. Considering the need for proper fertilizer management in fennel, the goal of the study was to identify the significance of different **biofertilizers** along with N and P on growth, yield and quality of fennel.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment entitled “Effect of bio fertilizers on yield and its attributing traits on fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*L)” was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, Naini, Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology **And** Sciences during 2022-2023. (**Give details of Latitude & Longitude with elevations, No details primary physiochemical properties of soils**).The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with nine treatments in three replications as follows- T<sub>0</sub>- RDF(50:10:10 kg /ha),T<sub>1</sub>-90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha), T<sub>2</sub>- 90 % N + Azotobacter (5kg/ha), T<sub>3</sub>- 90 % P + PSB(5kg/ha), T<sub>4</sub>-90 % N + 90 % P + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha),T<sub>5</sub>- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha), T<sub>6</sub> -90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + Azotobacter (5kg/ha), T<sub>7</sub> - 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha),T<sub>8</sub> - 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha).Fennel variety

Ajmer fennel-1 was grown in open field and biofertilizers were applied in the soil. The experiment included application of biofertilizers such as Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB were applied at required quantity as per the treatment combination before transplanting to all the plots in the field. The fertilizer urea containing 46% N and single super phosphate (SSP) containing 16% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> as basal dose was drilled as nitrogen and phosphorous source before transplanting as per treatments. All the package of practices were followed as per recommendation to raise a quality crop. Five plants were selected randomly from each treatment per replication and the observations were recorded on growth, yield and quality parameters on these plants. Data on various parameters were recorded and statistically analysed by applying the technique of analysis of variance using Randomized Block Design (Explain plot size..). The level of significance was kept at 5% ( $p < 0.05$ ).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to effect of bio-fertilizers on vegetative growth parameters like plant height, number of branches at different stages of growth and developmental parameters like days to 50% flowering and days to maturity in fennel are depicted in table 1.

Plant height statistically varies among different biofertilizers. Maximum plant height was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (203.47 cm), followed by treatment T8- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (200.88 cm) and the shortest is found in the treatment T0-Control (182.44 cm). The increased plant height might be because of biofertilizers which can directly increase plant growth by enhancing atmospheric nitrogen fixation, better proliferation of roots and higher uptake of nutrients. Among the bio-fertilizers azospirillum secrete bioactive substances (such as.....) which have performed similar as that of growth hormones besides biological nitrogen fixation noted by Kalidasuet al. (2008) in coriander.

Number of branches statistically varies among different concentrations of bio fertilizers. Maximum number of branches per plant recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>-90 % N + 90 % P +

Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (15.55), followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub>-90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (15.11) and the lowest is found in the treatment T<sub>0</sub>-Control (11.33). The increased number of branches by the treatment with **biofertilizers** performed better than control in the present investigation. The possible reason might be due to the increased rate of photosynthesis and leading to accumulation of photosynthate. This character is also found to be related with **endogenous hormone level (quantify with examples)** and apical dominance in the plant. The findings are in close harmony with the results of **Singh and Prasad (2006)**.

Minimum days to 50% flowering was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>-90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (105.33 days) and followed by the treatment T<sub>8</sub> – 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (108.50 days) whereas the maximum was in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> – Control (115.33 days). The reason for earliness in flowering in this treatment might be due to the fact that plants treated with **biofertilizer** become physiologically more active and enable to synthesize required amount of hormone or to build up adequate food reserves. Similar results were found by **Hnamteet et al., (2013)** in coriander.

Minimum days to maturity was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (159.68 days) and followed by the treatment T<sub>8</sub>– 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (160.22 days) whereas the maximum was in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> – Control (170.33 days). The possible reason of early maturity of crop may be due to increase availability of nutrients lead into high accumulation of net photo-synthetics with optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorus along with **biofertilizers** and availability of energy source for prolonged time. Thus, good proliferation of roots and enhance the uptake of nutrients and increase growth attributing characters (**Meena et al., 2014**). Similar findings were also reported by **Mandal and Sinha (2002)**

The data's regarding yield parameters like number of umbels per plant, number of umbellates per umbel, number of seeds per umbellates, seed yield(g/plant), seed yield per hectare (t/ha), test weight and economics are showed in the Table 2.

Number of umbels per plant was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum number of umbels per plant was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (14.61) and followed by the treatment T8 – 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (13.88) whereas the minimum number of umbels per plant was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (8.61). The increase in number of umbels per plant with the application of this treatment containing optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorous along with biofertilizers might be due to the increase in supply of major plant nutrients that are required in larger quantities for **fruits productivity**, growth and development of plants. The accessibility of phosphorous is improved by PSB (**examples**), nitrogen fixed from atmosphere by Azotobacter that leads to balance supply of major nutrients and ultimately contributed into the increase in number of umbels per plant (**Aishwathet *al.*, 2012**).

Number of umbellates per umbel was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum number of umbellates per umbel was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (23.16) and followed by the treatment T8 – 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (22.50) whereas the minimum number of umbellates per umbel was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (17.70). The increase in number of umbellates per umbel with the application biofertilizers along with optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorous caused significant improvement in overall growth of crop by virtue of increased photosynthetic efficiency. Thus, greater availability of photosynthates, metabolites and nutrients to develop reproductive structures seems to have resulted in increased number of umbellates per umbel. The present findings are in line with those reported by **Giridharkalidasu (2008), Darziet *al.*, (2009), Patel *et al.*, (2010)**.

Number of seeds per umbellet was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Data recorded in table 3 and illustrated through fig.1. Maximum number of seeds per umbellet was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (33.90) and followed by the treatment T8 – 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (32.31) whereas

the minimum number of seeds per umbellet was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (22.20).

The increase in number of seeds per umbellet with the application of optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorus along with biofertilizers might enhanced its availability to plants which resulted in increased photosynthetic activity and translocation of photosynthates from source to sink and this may be the cause of higher growth and yield attributes. Adequate supply of nitrogen and phosphorus play vital role in varies metabolic processes which resulted in increased flowering and improving number of seeds per umbellet. The present findings are within the close vicinity of those reported by **Giridhar Kalidasu (2008)**, **Patel et al., (2010)**, **Aishwathet al., (2012)**.

Maximum seed yield per plant was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (30.80 g) and followed by the treatment T8– 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (29.41g) whereas the lowest seed yield per plant was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (22.46 g). Increase in seed yield per plant with the application of optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorus along with biofertilizers is an output of sequential metamorphosis from source to sink. Hence higher growth parameters in turn resulted in increasing the seed yield. Partitioning of photosynthates in vegetative and reproductive parts those simultaneously in the later growth phases which resulted in higher seed yield. These results are in accordance with the findings of **Naimuddin et al., (2014)** and **Raiyaniet al., (2018)** in fenugreek.

Seed yield per hectare was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum seed yield per hectare was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (2.41t/ha) and followed by the treatment T8– 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (2.32 t/ha) whereas the lowest seed yield per hectare was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (1.90 t/ha).

Test weight was recorded with significant variations among different treatments. Maximum test weight was recorded in treatment T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) (7.17) and followed by the treatment T8– 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) (7.07) whereas the

lowest test weight was recorded in the treatment T0 – Control (5.76). The significant improvement in test weight with the application of optimum dose of nitrogen and phosphorus along with biofertilizers might be due to the increased supply of easily unavailable nutrients into available form. Moreover, biofertilizers also perform better when soil is well supplied with nutrients, resulting in vigorous growth and quality seed production. The positive effects of biofertilizers on quality parameters are also reported by **Patel *et al.*, (2003)** in fennel.

In case of economic parameter, T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) gained maximum gross returns (Rs/ha) (3,61,500), net returns (Rs/ha) (2,42,041.7) and Benefit: Cost ratio (3.02).

**Table 1: Effect of biofertilizers on vegetative growth and flowering parameters of fennel.**

| Treatments   | Growth parameters |                 | Flowering parameters         |                         |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
|  | Plant height (cm) | No. of branches | Days to 50% flowering (days) | Days to maturity (days) |
| T0- 50:10:10 kg /ha  | 182.44            | 11.33           | 115.33                       | 170.33                  |
| T1-90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha)  | 192.70            | 12.50           | 113.38                       | 163.33                  |
| T2-90 % N + Azotobacter (5kg/ha)   | 191.79            | 12.11           | 113.66                       | 164.72                  |
| T3-90 % P + PSB(5kg/ha)  | 191.08            | 12.05           | 114.66                       | 165.16                  |
| T4-90 % N + 90 % P + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha)                               | 197.55            | 15              | 110.38                       | 160.77                  |
| T5-90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha)                                | 194.99            | 13.83           | 112.05                       | 163.22                  |
| T6-90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + Azotobacter (5kg/ha)                               | 196.93            | 14.22           | 111.02                       | 162.50                  |
| T7-90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha)       | 203.47            | 15.55           | 105.33                       | 159.68                  |
| T8-90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) + PSB (2.5kg/ha) | 200.88            | 15.11           | 108.50                       | 160.22                  |

|                |      |      |      |      |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|
| <b>F-Test</b>  | S    | S    | S    | S    |
| <b>S.ED</b>    | 1.70 | 0.49 | 1.16 | 1.54 |
| <b>CD @ 5%</b> | 3.60 | 1.05 | 2.45 | 3.27 |

**Table 2. Effect of biofertilizers on yield parameters and economics of fennel**

| Treatments  | Yield parameters        |                            |                           |                               |                               | Economics      |                       |                     |                    |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|   | No. of umbels per plant | No. of umbellets per umbel | No. of seeds per umbellet | Seed yield per plant(g/plant) | Seed Yield per hectare (t/ha) | Test weight(g) | Gross returns (Rs/ha) | Net returns (Rs/ha) | Benefit Cost ratio |
| T0- 50:10:10 kg /ha   | 8.61                    | 17.70                      | 22.20                     | 22.46                         | 1.90                          | 5.76           | 2,85,000              | 1,68,072.3          | 2.43               |
| T1- 90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha)  | 11.66                   | 19.91                      | 28.98                     | 26.61                         | 2.09                          | 6.33           | 3,13,500              | 1,97,729.2          | 2.70               |
| T2- 90 % N + Azotobacter (5kg/ha)   | 11.42                   | 19.42                      | 27.94                     | 25.70                         | 2.05                          | 6.26           | 3,07,500              | 1,92,229.2          | 2.66               |
| T3- 90 % P + PSB(5kg/ha)  | 10                      | 19.22                      | 27.13                     | 25.59                         | 2                             | 6.06           | 3,00,000              | 1,84,012.5          | 2.58               |
| T4- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha)                         | 13.72                   | 22.38                      | 31.98                     | 28.76                         | 2.25                          | 6.95           | 3,37,500              | 2,19,041.7          | 2.84               |
| T5- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + PSB(5kg/ha)                          | 12                      | 21.53                      | 29.58                     | 26.74                         | 2.12                          | 6.39           | 3,18,000              | 2,00,041.7          | 2.69               |
| T6- 90 % N + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + Azotobacter (5kg/ha)                         | 13.05                   | 21.76                      | 30.11                     | 28.26                         | 2.18                          | 6.51           | 3,27,000              | 2,10,229.2          | 2.80               |
| T7- 90 % N + 90 % P + Azotobacter (5kg/ha) + Azospirillum (5kg/ha) + PSB (5kg/ha) | 14.61                   | 23.16                      | 33.90                     | 30.80                         | 2.41                          | 7.17           | 3,61,500              | 2,42,041.7          | 3.02               |

T8- 90 % N + 90 % P +  
Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha) +  
Azospirillum (2.5kg/ha) +  
PSB (2.5kg/ha)

13.88 22.50 32.31 29.41 2.32 7.07 3,48,000 2,30,291.7 2.95

---

F-Test

S S S S S S

S.ED

0.56 0.65 1.13 1.28 0.06 0.28

CD @5%

1.19 1.38 2.40 2.71 0.13 0.58

---

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## CONCLUSION

From the present investigation study, it may be concluded that the treatment T7 i.e. application of 90% N +90% P +Azotobacter(5kg/ha) +Azospirillum(5kg/ha) +PSB(5kg/ha), proved to be superior to other treatments in regarding all vegetative, flowering and yield parameters like plant height, number of branches, number of seeds per umbel, number of umbels per plant, number of umbellates per umbel, seed yield per plant, seed yield per hectare, test weight and economics. Earliness in flowering and seed maturity was also observed in treatment T7. Thus the application of bio-fertilizers to increase sustainable crop productivity of sweet fennel and the avoidance of synthetic fertilizers, as well as increased health advantages, return on revenue and fewer environmental damage.

## REFERENCES

- Aishwath, O.P, Lal, G, Kant, K.Y, Sharma, Ali, S.F and Naimuddin (2012).** Influence of bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum L.*). *International Journal of Seed Spices*. 2(2): 9-14.
- Akhani, A.; Darzi, M. T. and Hadi, M. H. S. (2012).** Effects of bio fertilizer and plant density on yield components and seed yield of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*). *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, 4 (16): 1205-1211.
- Alkaff, H.A, Saeed, O.S and Salim, A.Z. (2002).** Effect of biofertilizer, inorganic, organic and foliar application of Power 4 on the productivity of onion. *University of Aden Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*. 6(1): 1-14.
- Amiri, A and Rafrie. M. (2013).** Effect of soil inoculation with Azospirillum and Azotobacter bacteria in nitrogen use efficiency and agronomic characteristics of Corn. *Annals of Biological Research*. 14 (2): 77-79.
- ArdalanGhilavizadeh, Mohammad TaghiDarzi and Mohammadreza Haj SeyedHadi, (2013).** Effects of biofertilizer and plant density on essential oil content and yield traits of Ajowan (*Carum copticum*) *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*. 14 (11): 1508-1512.

- Bastami, A. and Majidian, M. (2016).** Effects of Mycorrhiza, Phosphatic Biofertilizer on Photosynthetic Pigments and Yield in Coriander (*Coriandrum Sativum L.*). *Journals of Plant Production*, 38(4): 49-60.
- Choudhary G.R., Jain N.K. and Jat N.L., (2008).** Response of coriander to inorganic nitrogen, farmyard manure and biofertilizer. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science.*, 78(9): 761-763.
- Darzi MT, Ghalavand A, Rejali F, Sefidkon F (2007).** Effects of Biofertilizers Application on yield and yield components in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill.*). *Iran Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants.* 22:276-292.
- Darzi MT, Ghalavand A, Sefidkon F, Rejali F (2009).** Effects of Mycorrhiza, Vermicompost and Phosphatic Biofertilizer Application on Quantity and Quality of Essential Oil in Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill.*). *Iran Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants.* 24:396-413.
- Darzi MT, Haj SeyyedHadi MR (2012).** Effects of the application of organic manure and biofertilizer on the fruit yield and yield components in dill (*Anethum graveolens*). *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research.* 6:3266-3271.
- Darzi, M. T, Ghalavand, A and Rejali, F. (2008).** Effect of mycorrhiza, vermicompost and phosphate biofertilizer application on flowering biological yield and root colonization in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill.*). *Iranian Journal of Crop Sciences.* 10:88-109.
- Darzi, M.T, Ghalavand, A, Rejali, F and Sefidkon, F. (2007).** Effects of Biofertilizers Application on yield and yield components in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill.*). *Iranian Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants.* 22: 276-292.
- Darzi, M.T, Haj SeyyedHadi, M and Rejali, F. (2011).** Effects of applying manure and biological fertilizers on biomass yield, seed yield and essential oils content of coriander, *the Quarterly of Medicinal Plants, Year 11, second series, special edition.* 9:77-90
- Dashrath Yadav, Prasad, V. M and Gujar, K. D, (2005).** Effect of different biofertilizers in association with phosphorus on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa L.*) – a white onion var. Indwo. *New Agriculturist*, 16 (1-2): 87-89.

- Devi, A.K.B and Limi Ado, (2005).** Effect of fertilizers and biofertilizers on physiological growth parameters of multiplier onion (*Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum* Don.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 75 (6): 352- 354.
- Farnaz Shahmohammadi1, Mohammad Taghi Darzi1, Mohammadreza Haj Seyed Hadi1 (2013).** Influence of Compost and Biofertilizer on yield and essential oil of dill (*Anethumgraveolens* L.) *International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research.* 2(2): 446-455.
- GunjanAswani, Paliwal, R and Sarolia, D. K. (2005).** Effect of nitrogen and bio fertilizer on yield and quality of rabi onion (*Allium cepa* L) cv. Puna Red. *Agricultural Sciences Digest.* 25(2): 124-126.
- Hnamte, R. Chatterjee and C. Tania (2013).** Growth, flowering, fruit setting and maturity behaviour of coriander (*Coriandrumsativum* L.) With organics including biofertilizers and inorganics. *The Biosean.* 8(3): 791-793.
- Hussein, A. H.; Ahl, S. A.; Atef, M. Z.; Sarhan, Dahab, M. A.; El-Shahat, N.; Zeid, A.; Ali, M. S. and Naguib, N. Y. (2015).** Growth and Chemical Composition of Dill Affected by Nitrogen and BioFertilizers. *International Journal of Life Science and Engineering,*1(2): 75-84.
- Ibrahim, M. E.; Rabbu, H. A.; Motawe, H. M. and Hussein, H. M. (2020).** Improved growth, yield of seeds and oil production of fennel (*Foeniculumvulgare* var. *vulgare*) plants. *Journal of Materials and Environmental Science,* 11(7): 1112-1120.
- Jat, B.L., Shakhawat, M.S. and Poonia, T.C., (2003).** Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and biofertilizers on productivity and soil fertility of fenugreek and their residual effect on pearl millet. *Annals Agriculture Research New Series.*24 (2): 383-389.
- Kalidasu, G, Sarada, C and Yellamandareddy, T. (2008).** Efficacy of biofertilizers on the performance of rainfed coriander (*Coriandrumsativum*) in vertisols. *Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops.* 17: 98-102.
- Koocheki A, Tabrizi L, Ghorbani R.(2009).** Effect of biofertilizers on agronomic and quality criteria of Hyssop (*Hyssopus officinalis*). *Iran Journal of Agronomy Research.* 6:127-137.

- Koyani.C.R, P.K. Chovatia and B.S. Gohil (2012).** Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield attributes and yield of rabi fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill.*). *Agriculture: Towards a New Paradigm of Sustainability* ISBN. 978- 93-83083-64-0.
- Kumar,S, Choudhary, G.R and Chaudhari, A.C. (2002).** Effects of nitrogen and biofertilizers on the yield and quality of coriander (*Coriandrumsativum L.*).*Annals of Agricultural Research*. 23(4): 634-637.
- Mahfouz and F.A.S. Hassan, (2011).** Partial substitution of mineral nitrogen fertilizer by bio-fertilizer on (*Anethumgraveolens L.*) plant. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*. 2(4): 652-660.
- Mahfouz SA, SharafEldin MA. (2007).** Effect of mineral vs. biofertilizer on growth, yield and essential oil content of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare Mill.*). *International Agrophis*. 21:361-366.
- Mahnaz Shirkhodaei1, Mohammad Taghi Darzi2 (2014).** The effects of organic manure and biofertilizer application on some essential oil constituents of coriander (*Coriandrumsativum L.*).*International Journal of Basic Sciences & Applied Research*. 3(5):274-280.
- Manisha KachariKorla, B.N. (2012).** Studies on influence of bio-fertilizers on quality and economics of cauliflower cv. PSB K-1 production. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 69(2):215-220
- Moradi R, RezvaniMoghaddam P, NasiriMahallati M, Lakzian A. (2010).** The effect of application of organic and biological fertilizers on yield, yield components and essential oil of *Foeniculum vulgare* (Fennel). *Iran Journal Agron Resource*. 7:625-635.
- Moradi1, R. P, RezvaniMoghaddam, M. Nasiri Mahallati1 and A. Nezhadali. (2011).** Effects of organic and biological fertilizers on fruit yield and essential oil of sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare var.dulce*). *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*. 9(2):546-553.

- Mounika, Y., Sivaram, G. T.; Reddy, P. S. S. and Ramaiah, M. (2017).** Effect of biofertilizers and micronutrients on growth, leaf yield and quality of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) cv. *Sadhana. Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 12(2): 113-117.
- Nishant Mishra, Chandra Pal Singh and U. S. Mishra, (2011).** Effect of bio-fertilizers on bio-nutrients, nitrogen, total protein, extractable lipid and mineral contents of cultivated variety of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* Linn.) *Journal of Phytology*. 3(8): 15-17.
- Patidar, JK Ramjan, Balraj Singh, B K Mishra, O P Aiswath, Krisna Kant, Bhupendra Sharma and Ravi Kant Rai. 2016.** Influence of integrated supply of AM, PSB, Azotobacter and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield and quality in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*) and micro flora population in the soil. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 86 (9):1140-4.
- Roshan lalsahu, Hansasahu and Sachin kumar (2014).** Effect of application of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth components and yield traits of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. (10)
- Rahimi, A. R., Mashayekhi, K., Amini, S. and Soltani, E., (2009),** Effect of mineral vs. biofertilizer on the growth, yield and essential oil content of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). *Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Science and Biotechnology, Global Science Books*.
- Singh, S. P. (2013).** Effect of bio-fertilizer azospirillum on growth and yield parameters of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) cv. Pant haritima. *Indian Journal Vegetable Science*, 40(1): 77- 79.
- Sonali, R.A.; Soyam, A.P.; Wagh, V.N.; Dod, P.K.; Nagre, N. and Gade, R.N. (2012).** Effect of different biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of fenugreek. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*, 7 (1): 28- 30.
- Waghmode, H.S, Patil, R.S and Pndure, B.S. (2010).** Effect of bio-fertilizer and gibberellic acid on growth and yield of onion. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 5(1): 228-230.

UNDER PEER REVIEW