

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103669
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of irrigation ground water quality different blocks in Jaipur district of rajasthan, india
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p><i>Title is good, however The title should be revised to conform to standard capitalization rules: "Assessment of Irrigation Groundwater Quality in Different Blocks of Jaipur District, Rajasthan, India."</i></p> <p>Needs improvement</p> <p>Yes, but needs to elaborated about the procedures and representative sampling</p> <p>Recent references can be added</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Article needs improvement, Author needs to correct the article for standard capitalization rule, grammatical issues, indentation throughout the article to maintain a consistent and professional appearance. Ensure proper spacing between paragraphs and subsections. Check the document for overall formatting, including font consistency, heading styles, and line spacing.: Addressing these issues will enhance the article's quality and readability, making it more suitable for publication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>I have reviewed the article. Overall, the article "Assessment of irrigation groundwater quality different blocks in Jaipur district of Rajasthan, India" provides valuable insights into the quality of irrigation groundwater in the region. Paper can be accepted, However, there are several areas that require improvement. The following review comments address the spelling mistakes, lack of adherence to standards, incorrect indentation, and other areas that need to be enhanced:</p> <p>There are numerous spelling mistakes throughout the article. Please thoroughly proofread the entire document to correct these errors.</p> <p>Title and Subheadings:</p> <p>The title should be revised to conform to standard capitalization rules: "Assessment of Irrigation Groundwater Quality in Different Blocks of Jaipur District, Rajasthan, India." Ensure consistent use of title case for subheadings throughout the article. Author Name and affiliation not mentioned</p> <p>Methodology:</p> <p>Provide a detailed description of the sampling methods, equipment used, and data collection procedures. Clearly outline the parameters measured and the analytical techniques employed for groundwater quality assessment. Indicate the sample size and how the study ensured representative sampling.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Results and Discussion:</p> <p><i>Standard capitalization rules need to be followed for tables, figures and sentences</i></p> <p><i>Provide interpretation of the results, including potential limitations and implications for irrigation practices.</i></p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p><i>Ensure that the conclusion directly addresses the research objectives outlined in the introduction.</i></p> <p>References:</p> <p><i>The author can also add some good recent references related to paper</i></p> <p>Overall:</p> <p><i>The article is accepted with the suggested changes Please correct the standard capitalization rule, grammatical issues, indentation throughout the article to maintain a consistent and professional appearance. Ensure proper spacing between paragraphs and subsections. Check the document for overall formatting, including font consistency, heading styles, and line spacing.: Addressing these issues will enhance the article's quality and readability, making it more suitable for publication.</i></p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sourabh Nema
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Hydrology, India