

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103456
Title of the Manuscript:	Systematic Review on Challenges and Conservation Strategies for Marine Megafauna
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Correct.</p> <p>Little ok. It may more concise.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Sure.</p> <p>I strongly recommend that need to more work on the manuscript. Kindly it is requested to author's please made an authenticated data and try to improve their findings with their content.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Manuscript "Systematic Review on Challenges and Conservation Strategies for Marine Megafauna" is good piece of the work. However, English of the MS need to be polished and I also recommend adding recent references from 2020-2023 to the MS and link these new references to the respective sections of the MS.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It can only be accepted if the author can provide the proper justification/revise the manuscript as on the points mentioned below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Introduction section, author has to write relevant matter only. No need to describe out of box things. Authors has not mentioned about the clear objective and other's work details. No need to repeat the things in abstracts well as in the same. 2. In Materials and Methods section, author has been provided full information regarding the others' work. Kindly update the data. 3. In Discussion part: The author needs to discuss the result in a proper way with description of analytical methods. Authors have not only been compared their finding to others but also their self findings are incomplete without figure. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<ol style="list-style-type: none">4. What is the significance of addressing the gaps in species-specific knowledge, socio-economic understanding, cumulative threat assessments, and long-term conservation outcomes? What are the unique comparisons with contrasting findings reported?5. Kindly use <i>Italics</i> font for the scientific name and <i>et al</i> at all the places.6. English of the MS need to be polished and I also recommend adding recent references from 2020-2023 to the MS and link these new references to the respective sections of the MS.7. Kindly recheck the reference section, Update as in the format of Journal. It is not upto the mark.8. I also recommend doing an English and grammar check before submission of the revised manuscript to the journal.9. Results should be in Picture or tabulated format.	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arti Gupta
Department, University & Country	Sri Avadh Raj Singh Smarak Degree College, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, India