

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJECC_103437</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Evaluating the Impact of POLY4 Application on the Growth and Yield of Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.)</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <b>No</b> , because it is incomplete.<br><br><b>Yes</b> , but the content is incomplete<br><br><b>No</b> , place and duration does not state the country (India) for international readership<br><br><b>No</b> , structure is incomplete, no conclusion & recommendation. 3.3 yield must come first before 3.2 yield attributes based on how it is listed in the Introduction. Discuss the similarities of previous studies to your study, not just stating that they similar (Results). Table 1 & Figure 1, and Table 3 & Figure 2 are the same. Just pick one for each.<br><br><b>No</b> . NO conclusion and recommendation.<br><br>Pls add more references. out of 17 references, only 11 are cited within text. Li Xue & Troung not referenced because of wrong spelling, Srinivasarao, Groundnut outlook, not referenced. |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | No, it needs to be edited.   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Juvy G. Mojares</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>College of Engineering, Batangas State University, Philippines</b> |