

Effect of integrated nutrient management on flowering and fruiting behavior of Aonla Cv. Francis

Abstract

The present investigation was carried with aim to know the effect of integrated nutrient management on fruiting of aonla cv. Francis. The experiment was conducted in two consecutive years. The experiment comprised of ten treatments, replicated thrice with randomized block Design. The study revealed the fruit set and retention per cent for both the year was noted maximum with the use of T₇, whereas T₆ was found to equally good with T₁. The maximum fruit size was recorded with the use of T₇ during both the year which was at par with the soil application T₆. The study concluded that the application of 75% RDF + 30 kg *Vermicompost*+ 250g *Azotobacter*+ 250g PSB produced quality fruit yield.

Keywords: INM, fruit set, fruit retention, fruit and fruit size, Aonla

Introduction

In the realm of horticulture and agriculture, optimizing crop productivity and enhancing fruit quality have always been paramount goals for researchers and cultivators alike. Aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.), commonly known as Indian Gooseberry, is a commercially significant fruit tree species that holds immense value in traditional medicine, food processing, and the nutraceutical industry. Among the diverse Aonla cultivars, the variety "Francis" stands out for its exceptional attributes, making it an intriguing subject for scientific investigation. It has been grown and known in India for last more than 3500 years. In fact, it finds a special mention in ancient Indian text 'Ayurveda' by Sushruta, the father of ancient medicine during 1500 BC-1300 BC. The aonla tree is native to tropical Southeast Asia, particularly central or southern India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Malaya, Southern China and to Mascarene Islands and it is also growing naturally in Cuba, Hawaii, Florida, Iran, Iraq, Java, West Indies, Trinidad, Singapore, southern Thailand, Pakistan, Malaya and China and Panama Canal regions. In India, aonla seedling trees are of common occurrence in the mixed deciduous dry forests ascending from sea level (Western and Eastern ghats, Aravali and Vindhyan hills) to 1300 m amsl, from northwest Himalayas (Jammu & Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand) to eastern Himalayas in Assam, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Manipur and Tripura. India ranks first in production of aonla. It occupies an area of 94 thousand hectares with a production of 1098 thousand metric tonne (Anonymous, 2019a). In Jammu and Kashmir State, aonla successfully grown in Kathua, Samba, Akhnoor, parts of

Udhampur and Reasi districts on an area of 1701 hac.with annual production of 3780 metric tons, respectively (Anonymous, 2019b). Aonla is richest source of vitamic C among fruits next to Barbados Cherry. Due to its maximum capacity of productivity per unit area, hardy in nature, highest medicinal value and extensive uses, particularly under wasteland conditions, in salt affected soils, the area under aonla cultivation is increasing in the India. Aonla is considered as ideal crop for arid and semi-arid regions. Due to its importance and medicinal uses, it is also known as “Amrit Phal” and “Wonder Drug” (Tripathi et al, 2007).

In Uttar Pradesh Aonla cultivation is maximum in belt of Pratapghar and Faizabad district. The area under the production of Aonla is 15.75 (‘000Ha), production is 63.00 (‘000MT) and productivity is about 4.0 (MT/Ha). Pratapgarh is a leading district of aonla cultivation on commercial scale throughout the country (Pathak *et al.* 1993). In last two decades, there has been tremendous increase in the area under aonla cultivation across the country, utilizing the wasteland. This has resulted in efficient utilization of resources leading to better income to farmers, nutritional security coupled with enhanced employment and rehabilitation of wastelands (Singh *et al.* 2014c).

In recent years, the importance of integrated nutrient management (INM) strategies has gained prominence in sustainable agriculture practices. INM involves the judicious integration of various sources of nutrients, such as organic manures, chemical fertilizers, and biofertilizers, to achieve balanced nutrition, enhance soil health, and maximize crop yield. Soil type, fertility and nutrient management play important roles in obtaining higher growth and yields of aonla. Now a days cost of inorganic fertilizers are gradually increasing. Indiscriminate use of chemical fertilizers, pesticides, weedicides etc. over the last four decades had adversely affected the soil fertility, soil quality, water quality, fruit size, yield and quality of the produce and increased level of resistance in pests (Kalloo, 2003). To maintain soil health and production quality more emphasis should be given on organic nutrients for better soil health and improved production. The integrated nutrient management paves a way to overcome of these pollutions and maintain fruit quality as well as productivity. Therefore, efficient use of integrated plant nutrient supply system is a prerequisite for achieving continuous advances in productivity of fruits crops in ecologically sustainable manner (Chundawat, 2001). This calls for moving away from chemical agriculture and embracing organic matter management, which improves all soil properties and brings nitrogen and phosphorus through organic manures and useful microorganisms. INM is the best approach for sustainable crop production. The flowering and fruiting stages of a plant's life cycle are critical periods, directly influencing the eventual yield and quality of fruits. Thus, investigating the impact of INM on the flowering and fruiting behavior of Aonla Cv. Francis can

provide valuable insights into optimizing its productivity and overall performance. This research paper aims to delve into the effects of INM on the flowering and fruiting characteristics of Aonla Cv. Francis. By comprehensively evaluating the responses of this cultivar to different nutrient management approaches, this study intends to contribute vital knowledge that could help horticulturists, farmers, and agricultural researchers make informed decisions about sustainable practices for Aonla cultivation.

Materials and methods

The investigation was laid out at Main Experiment Station (MES) Horticulture Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya (U.P.) on 28-year-old plants of aonla uniformly healthy and well-maintained square system of an orchard. The climatic condition of experimental site comes under the semi-arid comprising of three district seasons viz rainy or wet, winter and summer or hot. The rainy season starts from the last week of June to last September or extends up to October with an average rainfall of 1200 mm. Sporadic rains also occur during winter. The winter season starts from November and continuous up to the first week of March with mean temperature ranging from 15-25° C. The month of December and January are very cold during December minimum temperature is about 7.5-5.9°C while January is the coldest month with a lower temperature up to 6.4-5.0°C. The hot season prevails from April to June. The temperature during summer is intense and recording a little below 45°C. The relative humidity during summer <2- varies from 35 to 60 per cent. Severe drought occurs quite frequently accompanied by very low relative humidity, sensitive and wind velocity. There were thirteen treatment viz., **T1**:100 % RDF (Recommended Dosage of Fertilizer) (1:0.5:1: N: P: K + 10kg Farm Yard Manure (FYM) plant⁻¹), **T2**:75 % RDF + 10kg Vermicompost, **T3**:75 % RDF + 10kg Vermicompost+ 250g Azotobacter+ 250g PSB, **T4**:75 % RDF + 20kg Vermicompost, **T5**:75% RDF + 20kg Vermicompost + 250g Azotobacter + 250g PSB, **T6**:75% RDF + 30kg Vermicompost, **T7**:75% RDF + 30 kg Vermicompost+ 250g Azotobacter+ 250g PSB, **T8**:50 % RDF + 10kg Vermicompost, **T9**:50 % RDF + 10kg Vermicompost+ 250g Azotobacter+ 250 g PSB, **T10**:50 % RDF + 20kg Vermicompost, **T11**:50 % RDF + 20kg Vermicompost+ 250g Azotobacter+ 250g PSB (Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria), **T12**:50% RDF + 30kg Vermicompost, **T13**:50% RDF+ 30 kg Vermicompost+ 250g Azotobacter+ 250g PSB. Observations was recorded on the basis of following procedure-

Fruit set (%): It is calculated as the number of fruit set, divided by the number of flowers appeared. It is expressed in percentage.

$$\text{Fruit set} = \frac{\text{Number of set flower}}{\text{Total number of flowers marked}} \times 100$$

Fruit Retention (%): It were computed as the number of fruits retained till maturity divided by the number of fruit sets and expressed in per cent.

$$\text{Fruit retention\%} = \frac{\text{Number of fruit retained till maturity}}{\text{Number of fruit set}} \times 100$$

Fruit yield (kg/plant): At time of fruit harvesting **Fruit yield/** plant (kg) are recorded with the help of balance.

Fruit weight (g): **Weight** of five fruits are taken on balance and the average value are expressed in gram.

Analysis of data: The two years data obtained during experimentation were statistically analysed as per the method given by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) and the result were evaluated at 5% level of significance.

The standard error (SE_{m±}) for the difference of treatment means were computed as follows.

$$SE_{m\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{2MSE}{r}}$$

Where, MSE = Mean sum squares due to error

r = number of replication

The calculation of CD at 5% of table value were carried out with the help of following formula.

SE_{m±} = Standard error of the mean

CD = SE_{m±} × t value at 5%

CD = Critical difference

Result and discussion

Perusal of data indicated that the in table 01 is highest fruit set and fruit retention percentage was recorded with the application of T₇(75% RDF + 30 kg Vermicompost+ 250g Azotobacter+ 250g PSB,) which was at par with T₆(75% RDF + 30kg Vermicompost) and both treatment were significantly superior over rest of the treatments. It may be due to supply of all the plant nutrient and growth hormones in optimum amount and proportion right from starting of the experimentation to the harvest of the crop, which induces the more flowering and retention of fruit due to production and supply of photosynthesis at critical requirement. The results are in conformed with the findings of Pereira and Mitra (1999) and Huchcheet *et. al.*, (1998). The fruit

length and fruit width were also influenced significantly as treatment applied. It was noted maximum with the use of T₇(75% RDF + 30 kg Vermicompost+ 250g Azotobacter+ 250g PSB.). Application of T₆(75% RDF + 30kg Vermicompost) was found at par with T₁(100 % RDF (1:0.5:1: N: P: K + 10kg Farm Yard Manure plant⁻¹) and proved equally good. The increase in individual fruit weight due to the optimum supply of plant nutrient and growth hormones in precise amount during entire crop period caused more vegetative growth, ultimately more photosynthesis, resultant more fruit length, weight and diameter. Pereira and Mitra (1999) reported highest average fruit weight with the integration of 75g+100g+75g NPK kg/ha+1.5 kg neem cake/plant and Yadav et al. (2007) also reported maximum fruit length, width and fruit weight in aonla with the soil application of 50%NPK+100kg FYM+200g each Azotobacter + Azospirillum+PSB+25g sulphur.Integrated nutrient management significantly influenced the yield parameters over the control, prove by Aalet. Al (2020).

Among the treatments the maximum fruit yields were recorded with the soil application of T₆ 50%NPK+50% FYM+250g each (Azotobacter+ Azospirillum +PSB) being at par with T₅ 75%NPK+25% FYM+250g each (Azotobacter+ Azospirillum +PSB) and both are significantly superior. The enhancement in yield mainly because of proper supply of nutrients and induction of growth hormones, which stimulated cell division, cell elongation, increase in number of fruit and weight, ultimately increased fruit yield. Similar findings were also reported by Yadav *et al.*, (2007) and Babu and Sharma 2005.Likewise Tiwari et al (1999) also reported maximum fruit yield in banana with the soil application of bio-fertilizer particularly inoculation with Azospirillum could be substitute 50%N requirement. The present findings are also supported with the results of Bahadur and Manohar (2001), Ram and Raj Paut (2000). The increase in yield parameter in present investigation with the application on of NPK + biofertilizers might be due to its dual role in nitrogen fixation and production of phyto hormones and increase uptake of nutrient.

Conclusion

On the basis of findings of present investigation that the maximum increment in term of plant height, spread of plant, fruit set, retention per cent, fruit size, fruit weight and fruit yield were noted with the soil application of T₇is maximum during both year which was found at par with T₅, T₁₁ and T₁₃ . The minimum per cent increment was noticed with the use of T₁. So that it is concluded that treatments T₇ (75% RDF + 30 kg Vermicompost+

250g *Azotobacter*+ 250g PSB) recorded maximum vegetative growth, yield and fruit quality and cost benefit ratio of aonla can be recommended to aonla growers of eastern Uttar Pradesh for obtaining maximum yield with quality fruits. Understanding the impact of integrated nutrient management on the flowering and fruiting behavior of Aonla Cv. Francis can pave the way for more efficient and eco-friendly approaches to fruit cultivation. It is hoped that this research will not only benefit the Aonla industry but also contribute to the broader realm of sustainable agriculture and horticulture.

References

1. Anonymous. 2019a. Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board, Department of Agriculture and Co-operation. Government of India. Gurgaon.
2. Anonymous, 2019b . Area and production. Directorate of Horticulture, Kashmir, J&K. India.
3. J.M.Aal, K.M.Patel, S.J.Patel and D.J.Sindha (2020) Effect of integrated nutrient management on fruit yield of Aonla (*Emblicaofficinalis* Gaertn.) cv. Gujrat Aonla-1. Int. J.Curr.Microb0l.App.Sci. 9(10): 417-423.
4. Bahadur, A. and Manohar, R.K. (2001). Response of okra to biofertilizers. Vegetable Science, 28(2): 197-198.
5. Babu, Naresh and Sharma, ANamika (2005). Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity of 'Jahajee' Banana and soil properties under Lagaland Foot Hills condition. The Orissa Journal of Horticulture, 33 (2):31-33.
6. Chundawat, B.S. (2001). Integrated nutrient management in tropical and subtropical fruits. Proc. National Seminar on New Horizon in production and post management of tropical and subtropical fruits. pp. Res. 21(4): 499-503.
7. Mitra, S. K. 1991. Strawberries. In: Temperate Fruits. (Eds.) Mitra, S. K., Bose, T. K. and Rathore, D. S. Horticulture and allied publishers, Calcutta, India. 563-564 pp.
8. Pereira,L.S. and S.K.Mitra (1999). Studies on organic along with inorganic nutrition in guava> Indian Agriculturist, 43 (3-4): 155-160.
9. Ram, R.A.; Rajput, M.S. and Bhriuvanshi, S.R. 1999. Effect of controlled release fertilizers on growth, yield and fruit quality of guava cv, Sardar in ustochrepts. Indian Journal of Horticulture, 56(2): 104-111.

10. Huchche, A.D.; Ladaniya, M.S.; Ram, Lallan; Kohli, R.R. and Srivastava A.K. (1998). Effect of nitrogenous fertilizers and farm yard manure on yield, quality and shelf life of Nagpur mandarin. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 55 (2) : 108-112.
11. Tripathi, V.K.; Bahadur, Shyam; Dubey, Vishal and Kumar Abhinav (2015). Influence of integrated nutrient management on yield and physiochemical parameters of Aonla cv. NA-7. *Progressive Research-An International Journal*, 10 9Special-VI) 3493-3496.
12. Mahendra, Singh, H.K. and Singh, J.K., Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of ber (*Z. mauritiana*Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka. *Asian J. Hort.* **04**: 47-49. (2009).
13. Patil, D.R., Patil, H. B., and Prashanth, J. M., Studies on the integrated nutrient management strategies for higher productivity in mango cv. Alphonso. *Karantka. J. Agric. , Sci.* **18 (3)**: 867- 864 (2005).
14. Ranjan, Tarai and Ghosh, S.N., Integrated nutrient management in sweet orange cv. Mosambi (*Citrus sinensis*Osbeck).*Orissa J. Hort.* **34**: 72-75 (2006).
15. Singh, J.K., Singh, D.K., Prasad, J. and Singh, H.K., Studies on integrated nutrient management in flowering behaviour of bael(*Aeglemarmelos*Correa) cv. Narendra Bael-9. *National Symposium on Emerging Trends in Plant Science and Herbal Medicines*, held at N.D. University of Agric. & Tech., Kumarganj, Faizabad (U.P.) on March 17th & 18th, pp. 78-80 (2009).
16. Yadav, Rajesh.,Baksh, Hari, Singh, H.K. and Yadav, A.L., Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity and quality of aonla(*Emblicoefficialis*Gaertn.) cv. Narendra Aonla -7. *Plant Arch.* **7**: 881-83 (2007).
17. Yadav, A.K., Singh, J.K. and Singh, H.K., Studies on integrated nutrient management in flowering, fruiting, yield and quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv. Amrapali under high density orcharding. *Indian J. Hort.* **68(4)**: 453-460 (2011).

Table 1: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on fruit set, fruit retention and fruit yield of aonla.

Treatments		Fruit set (%)		Fruit retention (%)		Fruit yield (kg/plant):	
		2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
T ₁	100 % RDF (1:0.5:1: N: P: K + 10kg FYM plant ⁻¹)	22.00	64.80	64.80	10.56	64.80	66.72
T ₂	75 % RDF + 10kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	22.75	66.96	66.96	10.92	66.96	69.00
T ₃	75 % RDF + 10kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	26.00	75.28	75.28	12.48	75.28	76.92
T ₄	75 % RDF + 20kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	24.25	71.40	71.40	11.64	71.40	73.52
T ₅	75% RDF + 20kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	27.75	79.20	79.20	13.32	79.20	8095
T ₆	75% RDF + 30kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	25.00	73.16	73.16	12.00	73.16	75.84
T ₇	75% RDF + 30 kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	28.50	85.00	85.00	13.68	85.00	90.89
T ₈	50 % RDF + 10kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	22.50	66.24	66.24	10.80	66.24	68.24
T ₉	50% RDF + 10kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250 g PSB	25.50	73.76	73.76	12.24	73.76	77.32
T ₁₀	50 % RDF + 20kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	23.25	68.40	68.40	11.16	68.40	70.56
T ₁₁	50 % RDF + 20kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	26.50	76.90	76.90	12.72	76.90	77.76
T ₁₂	50% RDF + 30kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	23.75	69.92	69.92	11.40	69.92	72.00
T ₁₃	50% RDF+ 30 kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	27.25	77.03	77.03	13.08	77.03	80.72
	SEm ±	1.08	1.28	1.28	0.45	1.28	1.27
	CD	3.15	3.74	3.74	1.39	3.74	3.71

Table 2: Effect of Integrated nutrient management on fruit length, width and weight of aonla

Treatments		Fruit length (cm)		Fruit width (cm)		Fruit weight (g)	
		2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
T ₁	100 % RDF (1:0.5:1: N: P: K + 10kg FYM plant ⁻¹)	3.56	3.70	3.46	3.59	35.90	37.31
T ₂	75 % RDF + 10kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	3.69	3.80	3.57	3.71	37.13	38.58
T ₃	75 % RDF + 10kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	4.21	4.37	4.09	4.24	42.43	44.10
T ₄	75 % RDF + 20kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	3.93	4.07	3.81	3.95	39.58	41.13
T ₅	75% RDF + 20kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	4.50	4.66	4.36	4.52	45.29	47.06
T ₆	75% RDF + 30kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	4.05	4.20	3.93	4.07	42.16	43.81
T ₇	75% RDF + 30 kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	4.62	4.79	4.48	4.64	46.51	48.34
T ₈	50 % RDF + 10kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	3.65	3.78	3.54	3.67	36.72	38.16
T ₉	50% RDF + 10kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250 g PSB	4.13	4.28	4.01	4.16	41.62	43.25
T ₁₀	50 % RDF + 20kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	3.77	3.91	3.65	3.79	37.94	39.43
T ₁₁	50 % RDF + 20kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	4.29	4.45	4.16	4.32	43.25	44.94
T ₁₂	50% RDF + 30kg <i>Vermicompost</i>	3.85	3.99	3.73	3.87	38.76	40.28
T ₁₃	50% RDF+ 30 kg <i>Vermicompost</i> + 250g <i>Azotobacter</i> + 250g PSB	4.41	4.58	4.28	4.44	44.47	46.22
	SEm ±	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.17	1.579	1.604
	CD	0.56	0.49	0.45	0.50	4.608	4.680