

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_103945
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of suitable protein level and economically sustainable feed for tilapia ( <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> ) farming in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> It local importance for scientific community.</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Yes, it is.</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Yes, it is.</p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Yes, it is.</p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> There is no scientific problem in the manuscript.</p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> Yes, they are sufficient and recent.</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The English quality of manuscript is somewhat weak. It should be improved as I suggested on the text.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fereidun Mohebbi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Artemia Research Center, Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute, Iran</b>