

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_103855
Title of the Manuscript:	Student Character in the Use of Learning Technology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article seems to be focused on an important topic, exploring the character of students in the use of learning technology. Understanding how technology impacts students' behavior and character is relevant for educators, researchers, and policymakers to optimize the integration of technology in education. 2. The title "Student Character in the Use of Learning Technology" appears to be relevant to the topic and content of the article, as it clearly conveys the main focus of the research. 3. The abstract provides a concise overview of the study's aims, design, methodology, results, and conclusion. It offers a general idea of what the article entails, though specific findings are not outlined in detail. 4. The manuscript appears to follow a structured format with clear subsections such as "Introduction," "Methodology," "Results and Discussion," and "Conclusion." This organization aids readers in understanding the research process and findings. 5. Without direct access to the manuscript's content, I cannot assess the scientific accuracy of the information presented. However, the use of qualitative methods for studying the character of students in the context of learning technology seems appropriate. 6. Based on the provided references, it seems that the manuscript cites relevant sources, including educational research, character development, and the impact of technology on learning. Since I don't have access to the complete reference list, I can't evaluate its sufficiency, but the inclusion of recent and diverse references is generally important for scholarly work. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language quality of the article appears to be generally suitable for scholarly communication. The article follows a formal academic writing style and structure. It includes relevant sections such as Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion. The language is clear and understandable, and the author uses appropriate technical terms and references to support their arguments.</p> <p>However, there are a few areas where improvements could be made to enhance the language quality: The article contains some grammatical errors and awkward sentence structures. These should be corrected to improve the overall readability.</p> <p>The article could benefit from better organization and coherence in some sections, particularly in the Results and Discussion parts. Ensuring a smooth flow of information would make it easier for readers to follow the research findings and interpretations.</p> <p>The use of tables in the Results section is helpful, but it would be even more effective if the tables were properly labeled and explained in the text, so readers can understand their significance without having to guess their meanings.</p> <p>Overall, with some editing and polishing, the article has the potential to be a valuable contribution to scholarly communications on the topic of student character in the use of learning technology.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Suggestions for Improvement:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The abstract could be more concise and clearer in stating the purpose of the study and its main findings. It should highlight the key points without delving into too much detail.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The introduction could be enhanced by providing a more succinct and focused review of relevant literature related to character development and the impact of technology on education.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The methodology section should include more specific information about the data collection methods, such as the type of interviews conducted, the questions asked, and how the data was</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>analyzed.</p> <p>It would be beneficial to elaborate on the criteria used for selecting the research subjects and explain why these individuals were chosen.</p> <p>In the "Results and Discussion" section, consider organizing the findings in a more structured manner with clear headings for each theme or sub-topic to improve readability.</p> <p>Provide more in-depth analysis and interpretation of the interview data to draw stronger conclusions.</p> <p>The "Conclusion" section should be more concise and focus on summarizing the main findings of the study without repeating information from earlier sections.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>The researchers state that written consent has been collected from the respondents, which is a good ethical practice when conducting research involving human subjects. However, without access to the full manuscript, it is challenging to assess potential ethical issues comprehensively. Researchers should ensure that they adhere to ethical guidelines, such as obtaining informed consent, maintaining confidentiality, and protecting the rights and welfare of the participants.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jitlada Moonma
Department, University & Country	Lampang Rajabhat University, Thailand