

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_103410
Title of the Manuscript:	Hearing loss and language delay in a child with Goldenhar syndrome: case report and literature review.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>This manuscript can be important for the scientific community in several ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Rarity of the Syndrome: Goldenhar syndrome is a rare congenital disorder, and case reports that provide detailed clinical information and insights into its manifestations are valuable for expanding the knowledge base of this condition. - Clinical Relevance: The manuscript addresses an important aspect of Goldenhar syndrome, namely the association between ear malformations, hearing loss, and language delay. Understanding the relationship between these factors can guide clinicians in the early identification and intervention of hearing and language difficulties in children with Goldenhar syndrome. - Comprehensive Review: The manuscript includes a literature review that provides an overview of previous studies and findings related to Goldenhar syndrome. This compilation of information can serve as a valuable resource for researchers, clinicians, and other professionals interested in this field. - Implications for Diagnosis and Treatment: The manuscript discusses the diagnosis and treatment options for ear abnormalities and hearing loss in Goldenhar syndrome. This practical information can aid clinicians in providing appropriate care and interventions for affected individuals. <p>This manuscript contributes to the existing body of knowledge and can be beneficial for healthcare professionals, researchers, and individuals involved in the care of patients with Goldenhar syndrome.</p> <p>The title of the article, "Hearing loss and language delay in a child with Goldenhar syndrome: case report and literature review," appears to be suitable and informative. It accurately reflects the content of the manuscript by clearly indicating the main focus of the study (hearing loss and language delay) in the context of Goldenhar syndrome. The inclusion of "case report and literature review" further highlights the methodology used in the article, emphasizing the presentation of a specific case along with a comprehensive review of existing literature. Overall, the title effectively communicates the subject matter of the manuscript to readers and provides a concise overview of its contents.</p> <p>The abstract provides an overview of the study on Goldenhar syndrome, a rare congenital disorder with ear malformations and hearing loss. It emphasizes the importance of early identification of auricular abnormalities to prevent developmental delays. The specific case of a 4-year-old child with language delay is mentioned, along with discussions on diagnosis and treatment. The abstract could be more comprehensive by including specific details about the case's auricular abnormalities, hearing evaluation methods, treatment options, and a summary of literature review findings. Overall, it provides a good starting point but could benefit from more specific details and outcomes.</p> <p>The manuscript follows a suitable structure for a case study and literature review on Goldenhar syndrome. It includes the standard sections such as abstract, introduction, case report, discussion, conclusion, and references. The abstract provides a brief overview of the study's objectives, methods, findings, and conclusions. The introduction introduces Goldenhar syndrome and its etiology, while the case report describes the clinical presentation of a 4-year-old child with Goldenhar syndrome. The discussion section covers topics such as diagnostic criteria, associated</p>	

Review Form 1.7

<p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>anomalies, auricular abnormalities, and hearing loss. The conclusion summarizes the main findings and emphasizes the importance of early intervention. The references section lists the cited sources. Overall, the manuscript presents the information in a concise and organized manner.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - References 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 17 cover a wide range of topics related to Goldenhar syndrome, including its etiology, clinical features, treatment options, and associated abnormalities. Some of these references are older, dating back to the 1970s and 1990s, while others are more recent. - Reference 15 is a systematic review published in 2022 that specifically focuses on hearing impairment and ear anomalies in craniofacial microsomia, which includes Goldenhar syndrome. - Reference 16 discusses outcomes measures in microtia treatment, which is relevant to the evaluation of ear malformations in Goldenhar syndrome. - Reference 18 provides guidelines for craniofacial microsomia, which can be helpful in the management of Goldenhar syndrome. <p>Overall, while the list of references covers various aspects of Goldenhar syndrome, it would be beneficial to include more recent publications to ensure the inclusion of up-to-date research and findings in the field.</p> <p>1- Here are some additional suggestions/comments to enhance the manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Introduction: The introduction provides a good background on Goldenhar syndrome. To improve clarity, consider reorganizing the information to provide a more structured and concise overview. Clearly state the objectives of the study in the introduction section. - Case report: The case report provides important clinical details and findings of the patient. Consider adding more specific information about the language delay, such as the severity and any additional assessments conducted. Additionally, include information about the child's developmental milestones, which can provide more context to the language delay. - Discussion: The discussion section covers various aspects of Goldenhar syndrome and its associated abnormalities. To improve clarity, organize the discussion into subsections, addressing different aspects of the syndrome, such as craniofacial features, ear malformations, and hearing loss. This will make it easier for readers to follow the discussion. - Conclusion: The conclusion could be strengthened by summarizing the key findings of the case report and emphasizing the importance of early detection and intervention for ear malformations and hearing loss in Goldenhar syndrome. - References: While the references provided cover a range of topics related to Goldenhar syndrome, it would be beneficial to include more recent publications to reflect the current understanding of the condition. Consider including recent studies or reviews published within the last 5 years to ensure the inclusion of the latest research findings. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communications. The text is generally clear and understandable, and it follows the conventions of scientific writing. However, there are a few grammatical and typographical errors that should be addressed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>----</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safae El Abkari
Department, University & Country	Mohammed V University, Morocco