

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_103317
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF QUANTITATIVE TOOLS OF MONETARY POLICY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS IN NIGERIA: 1986-2019
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, title and format of research article is structured. This paper is scientific and comprehensive.</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes.</b>  <b>Have to add statement of problem, objectives or hypothesis, research gap and questions</b>  <b>Write detailed interpretations and findings of each analysis</b>  <b>Write/add social implications, practical implications and research implications of this article</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	YEs	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Check Grammar and sentence clarity	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Baranidharan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Business and Management, Christ University, India</b>