

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_103317
Title of the Manuscript:	THE IMPACT OF QUANTITATIVE TOOLS OF MONETARY POLICY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF DEPOSIT MONEY BANKS IN NIGERIA: 1986-2019
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Manuscripts are quite important for the scientific community, especially related to the field of monetary macroeconomics</p> <p>The title of the article is not appropriate, it is better if the time period is removed from the title</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the text are appropriate, but need expansion in some parts. Theoretical reviews are developed with the latest theory and empirical reviews are also added from the latest research in the last five years. Discussion of research results is discussed in more depth by debating the findings both theoretically and empirically. Conclusions and recommendations are made into one section only</p> <p>There aren't enough references and many are old. Need additional recent references. Some of the following references can be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nugroho, I. S., &amp; Endri, E. (2022). Determinants of Non-Performing Bank Loans Listed on The Indonesia Stock Exchange For The 2016-2020 Period. <i>Journal of Social Science</i>, 3(6), 1214-1232. <a href="https://doi.org/10.46799/jss.v3i6.470">https://doi.org/10.46799/jss.v3i6.470</a></li> <li>• Endri, E., Marlina, A., &amp; Hurriyaturohman (2020). Impact of internal and external factors on the net interest margin of banks in Indonesia. <i>Banks and Bank Systems</i>, 15(4), 99-107. doi:10.21511/bbs.15(4).2020.09</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The quality of language/English articles is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Endri Endri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Mercu Buana, Indonesia</b>