

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_102551
Title of the Manuscript:	NON-OIL EXPORTS AND EXCHANGE RATE VOLATILITY IN NIGERIA (1981-2021)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The subject addressed is worthy of investigation.</p> <p>Nigeria's Non-Oil Sector and its impact on Exchange Rate Volatility (1981-2021)</p> <p>The Abstract is concise</p> <p>There are minor things to pay attention to like the spacing, font size and type. Also figures, tables should appear on a page and not half on separate pages. Supplementary data should be appropriately organised.</p> <p>It is technically sound; but for the few corrections and rearrangements suggested.</p> <p>Some authors reviewed were not referenced. Single line spacing is standard for presentation of references. Author(s) should consult APA 6th edition for guide.</p> <p>The manuscript in its original version is not appropriate for publication. The organisation of the manuscript (Results and discussion) is not appropriate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The E-views regression results tables should be properly and briefly converted to normal tables with appropriate headings in the body of the main work; ; especially restructuring the aspect "RESULTS AND DISCUSSION"</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oghenebrume D. Akatugba
Department, University & Country	Delta State University, Nigeria