

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_103939
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH RATE PERFORMANCE OF SONADI SHEEP IN TERMS OF GROWTH EFFICIENCY
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Manuscript is certainly interesting in that it brings new knowledge about the growth of the mentioned breed of sheep. But the data processing does not use any new procedure. At the same time, the knowledge gained is not essential for scientific progress</p> <p>2. <b>Yes title is suitable.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, they are appropriate but the aim of pares is missing; the end of INTRODUCTION</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, but I have some suggestions, especially for Material and Methods</b> The definition of growth efficiency must be given in Material and Methods, it is one of the most essential things.</p> <p>6. <b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language /English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English roughly meets the requirements</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ludmila Zavadilová</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Animal Science in Prague, Czech Republic</b>