

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104068
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS FROM DIFFERENT VILLAGES OF KUSHINAGAR DISTRICT, UTTAR PARDESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript is very important</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate</p> <p>3. The repetition of the title in the abstract is not necessary, remove it. The method used to analyse the soil is not mentioned/outlined. This is key in obtaining results The units should be scientifically written. Re-write the nouns like potassium and phosphorous starting with small letters The key words are many, use single words</p> <p>4. Need some review to ensure flow of the research works</p> <p>5. The paper is scientifically correct though some units are not scientifically represented.</p> <p>6. The references are good though there is mixed formatting of references eg some have the year of publication after authors names while authors it is at the end(after the journal name). Kindly let the author align the properly</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not Really. The methodology section is written in the present tense while it should be done in the past tense. Also, grammatical enhancement is needed for the flow of this research work. Use of singular and plural is incorrectly used.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Look at the section above for suggested polishing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Kamau Mbugua
Department, University & Country	Kababii University, Kenya