

## Original Research Article

### ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOILS FROM DIFFERENT VILLAGES OF KUSHINAGAR DISTRICT, UTTAR PARDESH

#### Abstract

The present experiment entitled "Assessment of Physico-chemical Properties of Soil from different villages of Kushinagar District Uttar Pradesh " was carried out during 2022 and consisted of soil sample collection from the designated sites and laboratory experiments which was conducted in Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj- 211007 (U.P.). Results revealed that the soil colour in dry condition varies from Olive yellow to Olive grey and the colour in wet condition varies from Olive brown to Dark greyish. The soil texture was dominantly sandy loam in almost every site. The bulk density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ) ranged from 1.35 ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ) to 1.43 ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ). The practical density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ) ranged from 2.41 ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ) to 2.53 ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ). The pore space (%) ranged from 43.87% to 48.87%. The water holding capacity (%) ranged from 34.01% to 42.36%. The soil pH ranged from 7.50 to 7.99 indicating Neutral to alkaline in nature. The electrical conductivity ranged from 0.12 ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ ) to 0.33 ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ ). The soil organic carbon (%) ranged from 0.23% to 0.39%. The Available Nitrogen ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) ranged from 233.23 ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) to 278.24 ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The Available Phosphorous ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) ranged from 13.89 ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) to 25.39 ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The Available Potassium ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) ranged from 187.99 ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) to 238.32 ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The Exchangeable calcium [ $\text{cmol (p+) kg}^{-1}$ ] ranged from 3.03 to 4.24 [ $\text{cmol (p+) kg}^{-1}$ ]. The Exchangeable Magnesium [ $\text{cmol (p+) kg}^{-1}$ ] ranged from 1.45 to 2.21 [ $\text{cmol (p+) kg}^{-1}$ ].

Comment [A1]: Abstract should be in IMRAD format.

**Keywords:** Soil physico-chemical properties, nutrients distribution, Bulk density, Soil depth etc

Comment [A2]: Maintain the uniformity in text size and font.

#### INTRODUCTION

Soil is the soul of infinity life and is generally referred to the loose material composed of weathered rock and other materials including partly decayed organic matter. It is a reservoir of nutrients and plays a pivotal role in supporting the growth of crops and other vegetation maintaining the earth's environment clean (Ratan, 2011). The study of soil is known as Soil Science or pedology (pedo means earth) and (logy means to study). This study is also known as Edaphology (Edaphos means Soil). Soil may also be defined as the part of the earth crust in which humus is present as an organic part (Das, 2021).

There are many types of soils, usually placed in classes (types) based on their colour, profile, texture, composition, or structure. Each soil type is formed differently and can be found in specific places on the earth's immediate surface, mid and deep under the surface. Soils on the surface (a few milli meters deep) are usually exposed to direct climatic and environmental factors and easily blown away by the wind, washed away by water, or even broken down by temperature changes, human and animal activity. There are also soils found deep down the earth, often protected from climatic and environmental factors.

The physical properties are Bulk Density ( $\text{g/cc}$ ), Particle Density ( $\text{g/cc}$ ), Pore space (%), Water Holding Capacity (%), Soil Colour, Soil Texture. The chemical properties are pH, Electrical Conductivity, % Organic Carbon, Available Nitrogen, Available Phosphorus, Potassium. The knowledge about the physical and chemical properties helps in managing the resources while working with a particular soil. The aim is to set appropriate guidelines for sustainable productivity for better utilization and management of the soil for particular land use.

All soils having different properties and working with them requires an understanding of these properties. The knowledge of the physical and chemical properties of soils helps in managing resources while working with a particular soil. They need to be studied for agricultural purposes, to increase productivity, and have to improve the workability of soil mass. The study of the up-to-date status of soil properties is a very important tool to enhance production on a sustainable basis (Tewari *et al.*, 2016). The proper use of soil is the most vital and precious natural resource that sustains all kinds of the existence of life system and socio-economic development of any country in the world (Gupta *et al.*, 2019).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Study Area**

Uttar Pradesh is a state in south western region of India. The average rainfall here is about 990 mm and monsoon begin by June and till the end of October. The location of Kushinagar District GPS coordinates Latitude: 26° 44' 23.64" N and Longitude: 83° 53' 13.2" E. In area Kushinagar District covers 2873.15 sq km, is bounded by Maharajganj in west Gorakhpur in the southern west. Deoria in the south and the Bihar state in the East. The Kushinagar District is majorily Tarai area.

### **Soil Sampling**

The soil sample collection is from 1 blocks of Kushinagar District in the state of Uttar Pradesh. In one block selecting 9 villages. Samples was collected randomly from a site of each village using soil auger, Khurpi Knife by composite sampling method at a depth of 0- 15cm, 15-30 cm, 30-45 cm. A comparison of the Physico-chemical Properties of some of the soils of different regions of the Telangana state has been undertaken by comparing the results of the present study with the studies done earlier in the other state. Hence, a detailed study for evaluation of soils is needed to realize the concept of Physico- chemical analysis successfully. With this following objective, a study has been undertaken in soil resources inventory for sustainable land use planning in Kushinagar region of Uttar Pradesh.

### **Analysis of Physico-chemical Parameters**

The soil sample collection is from 1 blocks of Kushinagar District in the state of Uttar Pradesh. In one block selecting 9 villages. Samples was collected randomly from a site of each village using with the help of soil auger, Khurpi Knife by composite sampling method at a depth of 0- 15cm, 15-30 cm, 30-45 cm. A comparison of the Physico-chemical Properties of some of the soils of different regions of the Telangana state has been undertaken by comparing the results of the present study with the studies done earlier in the other state. Hence, a detailed study for evaluation of soils is needed to realize the concept of Physico- chemical analysis successfully. With this following objective, a study has been undertaken in soil resources inventory for sustainable land use planning in Kushinagar region of Uttar Pradesh. A hydrometer was used to determine the soil's textural class (Bouyoucos, 1927). The graduated measuring cylinder method was used to calculate bulk density, particle density, and water holding capacity (Muthuaval et al., 1992). After creating a 1:2.5 soil-water suspension, pH was calculated using a digital pH metre (Jackson, 1958). Digital conductivity metres were used to estimate electrical conductivity (Wilcox, 1950). Wet oxidation was used to measure the percentage of organic carbon (Walkley and Black, 1947). Available nitrogen was estimated by the alkaline potassium permanganate method using the Kjeldahl apparatus (Subbiah and Asija, 1956); available phosphorus was determined using Bray's extraction method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945); available potassium was determined using neutral normal ammonium acetate extraction followed by the flame photometric method (Toth and Prince, 1949); and exchangeable calcium and magnesium were determined using the EDTA method (Cheng and Bray, 1951).

## RESULTS

### Physical Properties

Soil in different villages in Nebua Naurangia block. The texture Classification of soil samples was shown Sandy Loam in all villages. The percentage of sand (75.12%) in the village Padri Mehadia B1V1 to lowest sand (71.46%) in the village Raipur B1V8 Highest silt (18.36%) in the village Nebua B1V3 to lowest silt (14.45%) in the village Padri Mehadia B1V1 and highest clay (11.08 %) in the village Rahinapur B1V5 to lowest clay (9.18) in the village Sirsiya bujurga B1V7. Similar results were observed in Das *et al.*, (2018).

Bulk density of villages and depth which was found to be non-significant. The maximum value found is 1.43 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> in B1V4 at 30-45 cm depth the minimum value found is 1.35( Mg m<sup>-3</sup>)B1V6 at 0-15cm depth. The bulk density increases with the increase in soil depth. The bulk density decreased due to the high organic matter content or vice versa. Similar results analyses were reported by Bhuyan *et al.* (2013).

The Particle Density ( Mg m<sup>-3</sup> ) ranged from 2.41 to 2.53( Mg m<sup>-3</sup> ). The maximum value found is 2.53 ( Mg m<sup>-3</sup> ) in B1V1 at 30-45cm depth the minimum value found is 2.41 ( Mg m<sup>-3</sup> ) B1V6 at 0-15cm depth. Particle density varies according to mineral content of soil particles (Mohanta et al., 2021).

The range pore space (%) ranged from 43.87 to 48.87%. The maximum value found is 48.87% in B1V1 at 0-15cm depth and the minimum value found is 43.87% B1V5 at 30-45cm depth. The pore space found to decrease with increase in depth at attributed to increase in compaction in the sub surface. Surface soils are having high amount of macro and micro pores compared to sub surface soil due to presence of high organic matter. The similar result analyses were reported by Choudhary *et al.*, 2020.

Table1: Soil texture and soil colour of Kushinagar District

Block Name & Site	Soil Colour		Soil Texture
	Range (Dry Condition)	Range (Wet Condition)	
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	OLIVE YELLOW	OLIVE BROWN	SANDY LOAM

Table 2: Evaluation of Bulk density and Particle density of Soil of Kushinagar District

Village	Bulk Density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )			Particle Density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )		
	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub></b>	1.36	1.37	1.39	2.51	2.52	2.53
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub></b>	1.38	1.39	1.41	2.45	2.46	2.48
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub></b>	1.37	1.38	1.39	2.42	2.43	2.44
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub></b>	1.41	1.42	1.43	2.48	2.49	2.50
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub></b>	1.39	1.40	1.42	2.46	2.47	2.48
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub></b>	1.35	1.36	1.37	2.41	2.42	2.44
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub></b>	1.37	1.38	1.39	2.47	2.48	2.49
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>8</sub></b>	1.38	1.39	1.40	2.44	2.45	2.46
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>9</sub></b>	1.39	1.40	1.41	2.41	2.42	2.43
	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D@5%	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D@5%
Depth (0-15cm)	NS			<b>S</b>	0.031628	0.093971
Depth (15-30cm)	NS			<b>S</b>	0.038658	0.114857
Depth (30-45cm)	NS			<b>S</b>	0.037156	0.110397

**Table 3: Estimation of Water Holding Capacity, Pore Space (%) of Soils of Kushinagar District**

Village	WaterHoldingCapacity(%)			PoreSpace(%)		
	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub></b>	42.36	41.09	40.01	48.87	48.68	48.13
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub></b>	40.25	39.06	38.04	45.23	45.05	44.40
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub></b>	39.30	38.21	37.01	45.41	45.23	45.11
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub></b>	41.09	40.05	39.01	46.79	46.61	46.44
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub></b>	38.76	37.51	36.31	44.62	44.44	43.87
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub></b>	36.39	35.20	34.01	42.68	42.51	42.33
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub></b>	40.92	39.39	38.21	46.48	46.36	46.12
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>8</sub></b>	39.76	37.52	36.74	45.45	45.27	45.09
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>9</sub></b>	39.96	38.81	37.29	45.91	45.73	45.55
	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D@5%	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D@5%
Depth (0-15cm)	<b>S</b>	0.745704	2.215601	<b>S</b>	0.724075	2.151338
Depth (15-30cm)	<b>S</b>	0.501382	1.489681	<b>S</b>	0.449885	1.336678
Depth (30-45cm)	<b>S</b>	0.409082	1.215444	<b>S</b>	0.598181	1.777287




Fig1: Bulk density, Particle density, Water Holding Capacity and Pore Space

UNDER PEE

## Chemical Properties

The values of pH of a soil sample ranged from 7.50 – 7.99 with 7.78 as a mean value. The maximum value found is 7.99 in B1V5 at 30-45cm depth and the minimum value found is 7.50 B1V3 at 0-15cm depth. The maximum value is due to the presence of organic matter and the reduction in pH value is due to the production of acids by bacterial nitrification processes in the soil and the decomposition of organic matter. Similar result analyses was reported by Kiran *et al.*, (2012).


Electric conductivity ranged from 0.12-0.33 dsm-1 with 0.196 as a mean value. The maximum value found is 0.33 dsm-1 B1V5 at 0-15cm depth and the minimum value found is 0.12 dsm-1 B1V9 at 0-15cm depth. According the results it is shown that 100% of the samples are in permissible range suitable for all type of crops. The low EC may be due to the good drainage condition which favored the removal of related bases by percolating. Similar results analyses were reported by Rathi *et al.*, 2018.

The organic carbon ranged from 0.23 – 0.39 with 0.299 as a mean value. The maximum value found is 0.39 B1V3 at 0-15cm depth and the minimum value found is 0.12 B1V9 at 0-15cm depth. The soil organic Carbon content decreased with an increase in soil depth and this is due to the addition of plant residue and FYM to surface soil then in the sub-surface soil. Similar results analyses were reported by Gautam *et al.*, (2018).

**Table4: Estimation of soil pH(1:2), EC (dsm<sup>-1</sup>) and Organic Carbon (%)**

Village	pH			EC (dsm <sup>-1</sup> )			OC(%)		
	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub></b>	7.84	7.85	7.86	0.21	0.17	0.15	0.27	0.26	0.25
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub></b>	7.66	7.68	7.73	0.27	0.24	0.22	0.29	0.38	0.36
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub></b>	7.50	7.71	7.75	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.39	0.27	0.26
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub></b>	7.67	7.68	7.72	0.29	0.26	0.24	0.31	0.30	0.29
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub></b>	7.75	7.98	7.99	0.33	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.24	0.23
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub></b>	7.91	7.93	7.96	0.22	0.18	0.16	0.34	0.32	0.31
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub></b>	7.75	7.84	7.86	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.38	0.36	0.35
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>8</sub></b>	7.58	7.63	7.65	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.29	0.26	0.25
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>9</sub></b>	7.81	7.91	7.93	0.16	0.13	0.12	0.30	0.28	0.27
	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D @5%	F-Test	S.Em.±	C.D 5%	F-Test	S.Em.±	C.D@5%
Depth (0-15cm)	NS			S	0.003284	0.009758	S	0.003409	0.01013
Depth(15-30cm)	NS			S	0.002934	0.008719	S	0.003069	0.009119

Depth(30-45cm)	NS			S	0.003078	0.009145	S	0.002923	0.008685
----------------	----	--	--	---	----------	----------	---	----------	----------



**Fig2: pH, ECandOrganicCarbon**

UNDER PEER

## Primary Nutrients

The nitrogen content in soil sample ranges from 233.23 – 278.24 kg h<sup>-1</sup> with 255.31 as a mean value. The maximum value found is 278.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in B1V3 village at 0-15 cm depth and the minimum value found is 233.23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in B1V5 village at 30-45 cm depth. The available nitrogen was recorded maximum at 0-15 cm soil depth as compared to subsurface soil depth. The available new nitrogen decreased with the increase in soil depth. Similar results analyses were reported with Bhavya *et al.*, (2018).


The Available Phosphorous in soil samples ranged from 13.89 – 25.39 kg h<sup>-1</sup> with 18.80 as a mean value. The maximum value found is 25.39 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in B1V3 village at 0-15cm depth and the minimum value found is 13.89 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in B1V5 village at 30-45cm depth. Available Phosphorous decrease with the increasing depth. Higher level of Available Phosphorous in surface soil could be attribute of favourable soil pH and organic matter content. Similar result analysis was reported by Kumar *et al.*, (2013).


The Available Potassium content in the soil samples ranges from 187.99 – 238.32 kg h<sup>-1</sup> with 211.20 as a mean value. The maximum value found is 238.32 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in B1V3 village at 0-15cm depth and the minimum value found is 187.99 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in B1V5 village at 30-45cm depth. The high content of Available Potassium on surface soil may be attributed to the release of available K form organic residues and application of potassium fertilizers. Similar results analyses were reported with Khanday *et al.*, (2016).

**Table5: Evaluation of Available Nitrogen (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Available Phosphorous (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Available Potassium (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Village	Nitrogen (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Phosphorous (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Potassium (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	261.27	253.53	239.45	20.88	17.54	15.25	228.24	213.54	194.12
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	264.24	268.45	251.32	24.83	20.96	18.23	235.25	220.24	201.23
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	278.27	255.36	240.26	25.39	16.52	15.54	238.32	223.24	202.25
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>4</sub>	260.26	248.45	234.65	22.27	15.35	14.23	218.44	205.25	186.24
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>5</sub>	267.24	253.23	233.23	21.46	16.25	13.89	215.54	203.25	187.99
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>6</sub>	270.45	258.45	242.56	24.25	18.26	16.45	231.42	216.42	197.12
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>7</sub>	273.23	261.12	246.23	23.83	20.23	17.14	220.21	206.75	202.11
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>8</sub>	265.25	254.23	238.56	22.86	16.35	14.23	221.25	207.25	189.11
B <sub>1</sub> V <sub>9</sub>	267.28	258.23	241.23	23.08	17.24	15.12	227.45	212.25	195.25
	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D @5%	F-Test	S.Em.±	C.D 5%	F-Test	S.Em.±	C.D@5%
Depth (0-15cm)	s	3.24876	3.65256	s	0.32995	0.98033	s	2.071231	6.153944
Depth(15-30cm)	s	3.11341	9.25042	s	0.202832	0.602644	s	2.035066	6.04649
Depth(30-45cm)	s	2.24885	6.68169	s	0.168481	0.500582	s	1.72046	5.11175

UNDER PEER REVIEW





**Fig 3: AvailableNitrogen,AvailablePhosphorousandAvailablePotassium**

## Secondary Nutrients

The Exchangeable Calcium [cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>] in soil samples ranges from 3.03– 4.24 [cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>]. The maximum value found is 4.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in B1V5 village at 0-15cm depth and the minimum value found is 3.03 [cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>] in B1V9 village at 30- 45cm depth. According to the range of Exchangeable Calcium decreases with the increasing in depth due to the attributes of high pH towards the depth. Similar result analyses were reported by Olalade, I.A. 2010 and Sreelatha, T. 2020.

Magnesium of soil samples ranged from 1.45 – 2.21 [cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>]. The maximum value found is 2.21 [cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>] in B1V5 village at 0-15cm depth and the minimum value found is 1.45 [cmol (p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>] in B1V9 village at 30-45cm depth. Exchangeable Magnesium decreases with the increasing in depth due to the attributes of high pH towards the depth similar result analyses were reported by Ololade, I.A. 2010 and Sreelatha, T. 2020.

Table 6: Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium [cmolKg<sup>-1</sup>] in different blocks and sites.

Block Name & Sites	Exchangeable Calcium [c mol kg <sup>-1</sup> ]			Exchangeable Magnesium [c molKg <sup>-1</sup> ]		
	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm	0-15cm	15-30cm	30-45 cm
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub></b>	4.06	3.97	3.92	2.03	1.97	1.91
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub></b>	3.75	3.64	3.56	1.97	1.91	1.85
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>3</sub></b>	3.99	3.92	3.86	1.78	1.74	1.68
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>4</sub></b>	4.02	3.94	3.89	2.01	1.96	1.91
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>5</sub></b>	4.24	4.13	4.01	2.21	2.15	2.04
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>6</sub></b>	3.99	3.92	3.87	1.98	1.91	1.86
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>7</sub></b>	4.21	4.16	4.09	2.12	2.05	1.98
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>8</sub></b>	4.19	4.14	4.09	2.14	2.06	1.99
<b>B<sub>1</sub>V<sub>9</sub></b>	3.23	3.11	3.03	1.56	1.51	1.45
	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D@5%	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D@5%
Depth (0-15cm)	<b>S</b>	0.048483	0.14405	<b>S</b>	0.034363	0.102098
Depth (15-30cm)	<b>S</b>	0.050963	0.151418	<b>S</b>	0.032394	0.096248
Depth (30-45cm)	<b>S</b>	0.071759	0.213206	<b>S</b>	0.026653	0.079189




Fig4: Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium [cmolKg<sup>-1</sup>]

Comment [A3]:

Comment [A4]: Tables and figures depicted the same thing. So Please remove any of them.

### CONCLUSION

According to the soil test results of villages of Kushinagar district clearly states that the soil is in neutral to alkaline in condition. 100 % of the soil samples are in permissible limit of EC and suitable for most crops. Organic carbon is showing a low range this is because of high temperature and high rainfall and decomposition is rapid, 100 % of the soil samples are showing low in available nitrogen, 100% of soil samples are showing medium in Available phosphorus, Available potassium is in range of medium, Secondary nutrients is in range of low to high range. The major reason for lack of macronutrients is leaching due to higher amount of precipitation in the area, nutrient uptake by plants and inappropriate management practices. It suggests that still improvement can be done by improving cropping pattern, decomposition of organic waste, mulching, tillage practices and proper irrigation by management practices with knowledge and experience gained through studies and lead farmers to achieve quality produce and high yield through soil conservation.

## REFERENCE

- Barthwal A, Swaroop N, Rao PS, Thomas T. Assessment of physical properties of soil in Dehradun district, Uttarakhand, India. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2019;7(3):1623-1625.
- Kanwar JS. Introduction, Fundamental of soil science Indian Society of Soil Science, (ISBN 81-901470-0-5), 2002;1-4.
- Dash PK, Mishra A, Saren S. Vertical distribution of available nutrients in an Eastern Indian Catena. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*. 2019;21(4):320-325
- Trivedi P, Singh BP, Singh BK. Soil carbon: introduction, importance, status, threat, and mitigation. In *Soil carbon storage* Academic Press. 2018;1-28.
- Brady NC, RR Weil. (2004) *Elements of the nature and properties of soils*. Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ. ISBN-13:9780130480385.
- Jackson, M.L. (1958) *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India. Private Ltd., New Delhi (1973).
- Subbiah, B.V. and C.L., Asija (1956) A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Sci.*, 25: 259-260
- Munsell AH. *Munsell Soil Color Charts*, Munsell Color Company Inc., Baltimore; 1954
- Jackson ML. *Chemical Analysis of Soil pH*. Prentice hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi; 1973.
- Toth, S.J. and A.L., Prince (1949) Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, K and Na content of soil by flame photometer technique. *Soil Sci.*, 67: 439-445
- Verma, C., Lal A., David, M. D. A. and Rao S. (2019) Determination of Physico-chemical Properties in Soil Samples of Prayagraj District, Uttar Pradesh, India. *AJACR*. 52576
- Wilcox, L.V. (1950) Electrical conductivity, *Amer. Water Works Assoc. J.* 42: 775-776.
- Walkley, A. (1947) Critical examination of rapid method for determining organic carbon in soils, effect of variation in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents, *Soli Sci.*, 632: 251.
- Kumar M, Kumar R. Assessment of Physio-chemical Properties of Ground Water in Granite Mining Areas in Jhansi, U.P. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*, 2012

**Comment [A5]:** Reference should be in uniform style. Please read the journal guidelines for the same.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

