

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103786
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of sources of sulphur and zinc on growth and yield of Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It has been determined through research that applying Gypsum 300 kg/ha and Zinc 15 kg/ha can significantly improve the yield and quality of Sesame by producing higher numbers of capsules/plant (51.40), seeds/capsule (55.47), and a higher seed yield (1.07 t/ha) compared to other treatments. However, these findings were based on research conducted in a single season and more studies may be needed to confirm these results.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. Corrections to several grammatical mistakes need to be completed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Relevant and important research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raghunath Chandranauth
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research and Extension Institute, University of Guyana, The Guyana School of Agriculture, Guyana