

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJBCRR_102812  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Plant senescence: How plants choose how and when to die                     |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> | <p>1. <b>Yes, it is.</b><br/>2. <b>Yes, it is.</b><br/>3. <b>It can be improved.</b><br/>4. <b>Yes, it is.</b><br/>5. <b>It can be improved.</b><br/>6. <b>It can be improved.</b></p> <p>First of all, congratulations to the authors for the research carried out, which has been easily understandable and very pleasant to analyze. In addition, the language used is easily understandable, which helps to analyze the manuscript. However, once the manuscript has been analyzed, I recommend the following modifications to be made</p> <p>The percentage of plagiarism detected is high, amounting to 25%. Without including the bibliography, I recommend that this percentage be reduced if the objective of the research is to be published in a high impact journal.</p> <p>In the introduction section, I advise to clearly describe the objective of the research as well as the main results achieved, since after analyzing it, senescence in plants is clearly described and justified, but the results achieved in the research are not clear. In the introduction section, I recommend that the objectives of this research be clearly completed, as they are not clearly specified in the abstract, and I recommend that the main parts of the research be included.</p> <p>In section 3, I recommend including a paragraph justifying the hormones that regulate senescence, since the authors only begin to describe the main hormones that regulate this process and, of course, all supported by current references. In the section on environmental factors, I also recommend that they be referenced to important works on the same subject and even expand this section to make it clearer how these environmental factors affect this process. In the conclusions section, I recommend that the main limitations and future lines of research be clearly described, and I advise the authors to support this review of the literature with an empirical part from which conclusions can be drawn to help future research.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>  | Yes, it is.   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i><br/>No, there aren't.</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>M<sup>a</sup> del Consuelo Ruiz Rodríguez</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Jaén, Spain</b>                 |