

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRB_103306 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CHARACTERIZATION OF ANTIBIOTIC PRODUCING Actinomycetes FROM RIVER TANA AND LAKE ELEMENTAITA |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community and the manuscript presentation style is very good. 2. Title of the article is suitable 3. Abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Manuscript is scientifically correct but need to further flourish the presentation style. Even font style and size are not consistent throughout the manuscript. There is no novel activity against bacteria. 6. References are sufficient but not updated. Most of the references are before 2020 etc. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Minor changes as grammar mistakes, space and reference style, consistency in tables formatting and figures in the manuscript need to be corrected. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Muhammad Akram |
| Department, University & Country | University of Management and Technology, Pakistan |