

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_103455
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of human parainfluenza virus infection in children of Xianyang Children's Hospital in 2022
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is about a common topic in paediatric clinical practice. Scientifically it does not provide more than epidemiological data.</p> <p>The title is in accordance with the type of work.</p> <p>The abstract starts with objectives, without at least a short paragraph explaining the importance of the topic.</p> <p>The manuscript refers to data but does not clarify what the study design is, it does not say exactly how the sample was selected, if all the children were included or if it was random. In methods it begins to explain the population, which would be results. There are no criteria for inclusion and exclusion to the study. Section 2.2.1 of inclusion criteria is not clear either.</p> <p>The citations are correct</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	English language review is suggested. There are words that may have incorrect letters	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	We suggest format revision, respecting spaces and commas.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mariana Seijo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Immunology, Genetics and Metabolism, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina</b>