

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_103357
Title of the Manuscript:	STRESSORS AND COPING MECHANISMS OF THE JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE NEW NORMAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Firstly, I would like to thank the editor for inviting me and secondly, I would like to thank the authors for their research. Authors should replace "in the table below" with "Table 1". In point 2.4 "in this study, two sets of research instruments were used in the study" substituted "in this study, two sets of research instruments were used", thus avoiding repeating the same sentence twice in this study. In Table 1, it would be less repetitive if the column "Interpretation" was replaced by something similar to "level of students' perception of emotional and psychological stress" In statistical terms, the application of a scale with 4 categories makes the statistical treatment difficult using statistics for quantitative variables, such as Pearson's R, terms in which I advised the authors to complement the results with more robust correlation statistics. Apart from this aspect, which need the results to be validated, the work is interesting.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Helena Pestana
Department, University & Country	ISCTE, Lisbon University, Portugal