

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102747
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating factors influencing smallholder farmers willingness to pay for Climate change adaptation information access in South-Eastern Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Climate change adaptation information is important for small holders to achieve resilience, currently climate change adaptation information is available through public agencies, which is general and not customized, private sector engagement in this process is important for customized service on paid basis. Thus the manuscript is important for extension workers, scientists and farmers.</p> <p>2. Assessment of farmers WTP looks better</p> <p>3. Abstract is well articulated, need rephrasing of some texts</p> <p>4. Subsection and structure arranged properly</p> <p>5. Author has used sufficient scientific tools, valid method for data collection and processing and interpreted properly. Recommendation section need rephrasing and proper link with findings of the research.</p> <p>6. Author referred number of papers and articles, however there is volume of literature in this subject so more review is recommended, comparison of findings from other regions and other similar studies can produce better understanding of willingness to pay which is higher or less, higher level of willingness to pay means dire need or there is sufficient ground for private enterprises to participate in information flow services. Further author can explore on how private sector can participate with this information or in similar project. The project information is not needed in the research paper mentioned by author</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Remove project based review or project information, as this is survey based scientific paper. Add more review on CV, comparison of finding and methodology used which will make the paper robust. Variable description/definition i.e. categorical, numeric, nominal ordinal discrete continuous and measurement is needed before going to model.</p> <p>Table 3 need to retitled</p> <p>Recommendation rewrite, there is overlap in conclusion and recommendation, conclusion provides basis for recommendation, conclusion itself is not recommendation</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendra Prasad Mishra
Department, University & Country	Nepal