

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_102648
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing Gender Disparities in Land Ownership and Wage Rates in Indian Agriculture- An Empirical Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>I am totally convinced that the manuscript is very relevant and requires Research attention of academia.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>It is comprehensive. But it can be more crisp with appropriate focus on methodology and results.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. The paper is based on secondary data and scientifically correct.</p> <p>Das, Arghyadeep &amp; Mohapatra, Shruti &amp; Patnaik, Neela. (2021). Feminization of Indian Agriculture: A Review. Agricultural Reviews. 10.18805</p> <p>Patrick Kilby (2019): The Green Revolution and absent women, Edition1st Edition, ByBookImprint Routledge The Green Revolution, eBook ISBN9780429200823, PP 16</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The rationale for the study can still be elevated with the help of latest reports of NITI Ayog (Ex: Rural Women: Key to Agrarian Revolution)	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>V Janaki Thenneti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>