

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_98310
Title of the Manuscript:	"Impact of Incorporating ICT skills into the curriculum of the Bhutanese Education System"
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for the scientific community because it alerts to several factors that restrict the effective implementation of ICT in Bhutanese Education System, such as: lack of computers in schools, adequate infrastructure, trained professionals, adequate budget to buy all the accessories of computer. Yes. I consider it's important to add the objective of the research in the abstract. Yes. Yes. Yes. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes. 	
Optional/General comments	I consider it's important to add the objective of the research at the end of the introduction. In the methodology, add whether the study participants signed an informed consent form before answering the questionnaire.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Claudia Tenor
Department, University & Country	Brazil