

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_97638
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Pattern of Renewable Energy in India: A way for Sustainable Development
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.The manuscript aims to investigate the energy requirement in India and analyse the growth and pattern of renewable energy in India. The manuscript suggested optimum policy recommendations to enhance renewable energy and promote sustainable development in India. 2.Alternative title: Toward sustainable development in India through Growth and Pattern of Renewable Energy 3. ok 4. ok 5. ok 6. The manuscript needs to increase the number of references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Paper needs extensive editing for grammar, word choice and unclear sentences	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghada Ahmed Ragheb
Department, University & Country	Pharos University in Alexandria, Egypt