

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JENRR_96588</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ECONOMIC AND SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC DETERMINANTS OF HOUSEHOLD COOKING ENERGY CHOICE IN PUBLIC HOUSING ESTATES IN MAIDUGURI, NORTH-EAST NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes. But, given findings are as usual.</b> Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes  1. Author has to revise the manuscript and re-paraphrase it with suitable sentences. 2. Under the part of introduction, 4th line is not clear with the number of people. So, author has to check it and make it with clear report. 3. Each section in this manuscript it not numbered. 4. What is CBN quarters? Given under sampling technique at 6th line. 5. How did the author draw sample size from the actual number of household? What systematic has been adopted ? 6. How did the author distinguish the economic and socio-demographic determinants?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. Author has to revise the manuscript and re-paraphrase it with suitable sentences (some sentences from abstract is not seems like correct).	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>T. Amose</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Madurai Kamaraj University, India</b>