

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_97659</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparison Of The Turkey And Pakistan Banking Sector During The Covid 19 Pandemic Process And Analysis Of The Relationship With The Internal Factors Determined Regarding Financial Vulnerabilities</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p><b>Yes, there is evidence of an added value as the topic was never investigated for the two selected banking systems namely the Turkish and Pakistani ones.</b></p> <p><b>Too long as a title and a minor typo: Turkish and Pakistani Banking sector.</b>  <b>The data set covers the year 2020 which only marks the beginning of the Covid 19 effects. Assessing the real effects of the pandemic should be done via event studies.</b>  <b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes. The two banking sectors were significantly presented. However, one or two paragraphs might be added about the similarities between the two banking sectors in an effort to justify the reasons behind selecting them.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes. The topic can also refer in its literature review section to the management efficiency of banks in the two countries. The research done by Aguenou, S., Lahrech, A., &amp; Bounakaya, S. (2017) can help in this regard and be added to the list of references.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Please consider adding one paragraph or two in the conclusion to refer to some limitations of the study. The main one is linked to assessing the effect of the pandemic on the selected variables as the sampling period should be extended to at least 2022. Only then the real effect of the pandemic can be assessed before and after 2020.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samir Aguentaou</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Business Administration, Al Akhawayn University, Morocco</b>