

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_97299
Title of the Manuscript:	VITAMIN D DEFICIT INCREASES THE RISK OF DEATH FROM COVID-19 IN BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Yes, this manuscript has some importance to the scientific field. It explored the immunomodulatory effect of vitamin D level in COVID-19 patients through an observation case-control study. 2- Yes, the title looks suitable for this manuscript. 3- The abstract is concise and comprehensive but I would suggest to describe results section in the abstract by a better way and includes p-value. 4- The structure and sections of the manuscript are appropriate. 5- Yes, the manuscript looks scientifically correct but I would suggest to present the results and discussion in a more concise way just to avoid confusion to the reader. 6- The references are sufficient and recent but they are not in the right sequence.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1- The writing style is good.	
Optional/General comments	1- In the introduction, authors are encouraged to compare COVID-19 lethality in Brazil with the worldwide one. Why the lethality rate in Brazil is relatively higher? 2- In the introduction, what the abbreviation VDR means? 3- In the section of Study Design and Patient Enrolment, breathing rate is measured as breath per min and not by breath per ml. 4- Table 4 is missing the headers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar
Department, University & Country	Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq