

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_96962
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Quality characteristics and storage stability of peanut beverage added ginger, moringa leaf powder and Lime
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnf.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it is utilising the peanut crop which is high in protein and utilising under developed crop and it is of great importance for providing nutritious beverage. 2. Evaluation of Quality characteristics and storage stability of peanut beverage incorporated with ginger, moringa leaf powder and Lime 3. The abstract should be shortend. Only the methodology in brief should be given and concise result should be included in the abstract section Needs to be re-written. 4. There are major grammatical errors in the manuscript. The procedure for determining of carbohydrates is not mentioned in the material and methods. The spelling mistakes also need to be corrected in the whole document 5. Yes 6. The references given in the manuscript are not listed in the reference section, many are missing. Need to add more references in the discussion section to corroborate the results.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language and spelling sneeze to be corrected throughout the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jagbir Rehal
Department, University & Country	Punjab Agricultural University, India