

Original Research Article

Weed flora, density and efficiency affected Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) controlled by Chemical Herbicides.

Comment [a1]: Author(s) can recheck the title and make a lookrative one!

Abstract

Aims: The aims of the study was to determine the weed flora, weed density and weed control efficiency of different weed types affect sugarcane as plant cane crop.

Study design: Experimental design of the study was a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with four replications.

Place and Duration of Study: A field experiment was conducted in two experimental sites in Sugarcane Research Center Farm at Guneid, Sudan during ~~season~~-2019-2020.

Methodology: Four chemical herbicides treatments; W₁: un weeded (control), W₂: Ametryne (3.8 L/ha)+Atrazine (3.8 L/ha), W₃: Atrazine (3.0 L/ha)+Pendimethalin (2.9 L/ha) and W₄: and Metribuzin (5.21 L/ha)+Pendimethalin (3.57 L/ha) was used for sugarcane variety Co 6806.

Results: The results related to weed flora for plant cane crop in the two different experimental sites identified about 15 weed species classified into two categories; grasses and broad-leaves weed with the dominance of broad leaves weed. The total average number of weed in the un weeded plots in the two different experimental sites ranged between 13 to 17 % of the total weeds were grasses and the rest were broad-leaved weeds which ranged between 87 to 83 %. The experiment ~~concluded~~ revealed that treatment W₄: (Metribuzin 5.21 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.57 l/ha) recorded significant value at ($p < 0.05$) with the lowest weed density, and the highest weed control efficiency percent compared to the other chemical herbicide's treatments and the control in plant cane crop in the two different experimental sites.

Conclusion: The findings of the study showd that there was about 15 weed species classified into two categories; grasses the rest were broad-leaved weed. The broad leaves weed were dominance over grass weed. The treatment W₄: (Metribuzin 5.21 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.57 l/ha) was the best for weed control in sugarcane in the two sites.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Keywords: Weed flora, density, Sugarcane, Chemical Herbicides.

Comment [a2]: Arrange/ re-write the keywords in an alphabetical order:

1. INTRODUCTION

In sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) cultivation the nature of weed problem is quite different from other crops be is planted 30-45 days for complete germination and another 60-75 days for developing full canopy cover, this provide opportunity for weed to occupy the vacant spaces between rows and offer serious crop weed competition [1]. Initial growth and wider spacing of sugarcane provide ideal conditions for weeds that pose heavy interference with sugarcane; these conditions are conducive to weed growth and competition during the first 3-4 months of growth [2]. Critical periods of crop-weed competition vary depending on crop, weed, weather, growing conditions, soil type and tillage [3]. Weeds reduce sugarcane yields by competing for moisture, nutrients and light during its growing period [4]. Weeds that infest sugarcane plant include grasses, broad-leaf weeds and sedges which can cause loss in sugarcane yield by 20-90 % [5]. [6] reported that weeds remove 4 times of N and P and 2.5 times of K as compared to sugarcane during the first seven weeks period which reflects negatively on crop yield. Weeds are generally handled by manual, biological, mechanical and chemical methods. The traditional method for controlling weeds in sugarcane in Sudan is hand weeding which is labor intensive, tedious, laborious, time consuming, and therefore an expensive operation where done by hired labor. However, with the scarcity of manual ~~labour~~ labor and intensive crop production, introduction of chemical weed control was necessary to replace traditional weed control measures, more

Chemical herbicides, Sudan, Sugarcane, Weed density, Weed flora

Comment [a3]: Change the sentence structure

effective in controlling weeds ~~with-out~~without adverse effect on cane quality and is time saving [7, 8,9,1 and 10]. Herbicides are chemicals that kill or alter the normal growth of weeds. They can be divided into two main groups: selective and non-selective. Furthermore, there are several efficient herbicides on market herbicides, like, Ametryn, Diuron, and Atrazine etc. which registered for weed control in sugarcane [11]. Very few herbicides are selective when applied at post-emergence to sugarcane. Triazines like atrazine and recently, trifloxysulfuron found to be effective post-emergent herbicides for sugarcane. Atrazine is very effective against annual grasses and broad-leaf weeds but whensupplemented with hoeing operation give better control for perennial weeds [1,7] ~~and 11~~. In Sudan, weeds are the major constraint to crop production in all cultivated areas, unrestricted weed growth promotes soil degradation in cultivated lands and reduces yield of the main crop by 50-100 % [12]. In Sudan, chemical herbicides can be useful and economical tools in increased sugarcane production. [13] reported that the standard weed control treatment in sugarcane is composed of the rather application of (Gesapax + Gesaprim) and (Stomp + Gesaprim). Recently, there were recommended and registered chemical herbicides combination applied in sugarcane farms. These chemical herbicides include (Ametryn + Atrazine), (Atrazine + Pendimethalin) and (Metribuzin + Pendimethalin) so as to achieve a good control of weeds and increase sugarcane yield. Herbicide mixtures, which contain two or more herbicides with different modes of action, can be an effective and economical tool for controlling diverse weeds that vary in morphology and physiology, and emerge at different stages of growth in a number of crops [14]. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to classify and identify weed flora, weed density and weed control ~~(%)percent~~ on sugarcane as plant cane to improve weed control process and sugarcane production.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental site:

The study was conducted in Sugar Research Center Farm at Guneid– Sudan on the East Bank of the Blue Nile, 117 km south of Khartoum, latitude 15° North, and longitude 33° East during seasons 2019/2020 in two different experimental sites which differ in some chemical and physical soil properties (reference!). The soil was vertisol with moderate chemical fertility (reference!). The objective of the study was to determine the weed flora, weed density and weed control efficiency of different weed types affect sugarcane as plant cane crop. The climatic zone of the experimental research area is continental which is characterized by hot summer, relatively cool winters, low rainfall, low relative humidity and the potential evapo-transpiration exceeding precipitation in most parts of the year (reference!). The average maximum temperature is recorded as 42.2 C° in April during the study period 2019- 2020. The average minimum temperature is recorded was 15.8, 19.8 and 15.1 C° in February during the study period 2019- 2020 respectively. The average maximum Relative humidity (RH %) is recorded was 76.4 and 79.3 % in August during the study period 2018- 2019 respectively (reference!). The average minimum Relative humidity (RH %) recorded was 18.5 and 18.5 % in April month during the study period 2018- 2019 respectively (reference!). The average maximum rainfall recorded was 91.4 (September), 129.7 and 142.1 mm (August) during the study period ~~from 2019–to~~ 2020 respectively (reference!).

2.2 Experimental details:

Two field experiments for plant cane crop were conducted in two different experimental sites in season 2019\2020 and harvested at the age of 14 months. Experimental design was a Randomized Completely Block Design (RCBD) with four chemical herbicides treatments; W₁: un weeded (control), W₂: Ametryne 3.8 l/ha + Atrazine 3.8 l/ha. W₃: Atrazine 3.0 l/ha + Pendimethalin 2.9 l/ha and W₄: Metribuzin 5.3 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.6 l/ha.

2.3 Plant cane cultural practices:

All the standard cultural practices adopted for sugarcane cultivation in the Sudanese Sugarcane Farms were followed in this experiment. The plant cane experimental sites were left fallow for the previous year and were prepared according to the standard preparation methods followed for the commercial sugarcane production. Up-rooting of the previous crop stools, deep plowing with heavy disc plough, harrowing, leveling, and furrowing at distance of 1.5 m. The plot size for the two different plant cane experiments was 4 furrows ~~×~~8 m row long ~~×~~1.5 m width = (48 m²). Three eyed cane sets were taken from ten months old plant cane of the variety Co 6806, and planted with the end to end method of planting. The sets in the furrows were lightly covered with soil manually and irrigated immediately. All chemical herbicides treatments were applied prior to the second irrigation as aqueous spray using a knap-sack sprayer at volume rate of 80 l/fed. Un-weeded control was included for comparison. Subsequent irrigations were applied at 10 days intervals except during hot and rainy periods when the interval was adjusted as required.

2.4 Weed parameters:

2.4.1 Weed flora:

At 4, 8, and 12 weeks from sugarcane planting, a quadrat of (0.5 m ~~×~~0.5 m) was thrown randomly in each experimental unit four times and green weed plants not affected by herbicides species were identified, categorized into grasses and broad-leaved weeds and counted to categorized the weed species present in the sugarcane field.

2.4.2 Weed density:

At 4, 8, 12 weeks after application a quadrant of (0.5 m ~~×~~0.5 m) was thrown randomly in each experimental unit four times and green weed plants not affected by herbicides were counted and averaged for calculating weed density. At every sampling, two categories of weeds viz., grasses and broad-leaved weeds were separated and expressed as numbers ~~per m²~~.

Formatted: Superscript

Comment [a4]: Check again!

2.4.3 Weed control efficiency:

It denotes the efficiency of the applied herbicides or herbicidal treatments for comparison purposes. It was worked out by using the formula (proposed by ??) (Citation) and expressed in percentage.

$$WCE = \frac{DMC - DMT}{DMC} \times 100$$

Where,

WCE - Weed control efficiency in percentage,

DMC - Dry matter (g m⁻²) in un-weeded control and

DMT - Dry matter (g m⁻²) in treated plot.

2.5 Statistical analysis: Experimental data collected were analyzed statistically using analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique to evaluate the differences among treatments, and the means were separated using the least significant difference (LSD) at the 5% level of significance [15]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experimental results in Table (1) related to weed flora for plant cane crop in the two different experimental sites at Sugarcane Research Center Farm at Guneid were identified in about 15 weed species were classified into two categories; grasses and broad-leaved weeds. The total average number of weeds in the un weeded plots in the two different experimental sites ranged between 13 to 17 % of the total weeds were grasses and the rest were broad-leaved weeds which ranged between 87 to 83 %. The predominant grass weeds were *Brachariaeruciformis* and *Echinochloacolonum*. The predominant broad-leaved weeds were *Digeraalternifolia*, *Ipomoea cordofana*, *Rhynchosiamemnonia*, *Corchorusfascicularis*, *Trianthemapentandra*, *Phyllanthusmaderaspatensis*, *Solanumdubium* and *Momordicabalsamina*. Comparison of relative densities of grasses and broad-leaved weeds at all stages of observation revealed that broad-leaved weeds dominated over grasses in the two different experimental sites for plant cane crop. These results obtained similar to that of [16], where the researchers reported that dominance of broad-leaved weed was due to their faster growth and deep root system and thus promoted the absorption of soil moisture. The experimental results obtained for weed flora were in the same line to that of [16], who reported that broadleaf weed were predominant and particularly cause trouble some to sugarcane crop.

Table (1): Weed flora in Sugarcane Research Farm at Guneid, Sudan

Scientific name	Local name	Type	Family
<i>Brachariaeruciformis</i>	Um koreaat	G ⁺	Poaceae
<i>Echinochloacolonum</i>	Difra	G	Panicaceae
<i>Ipomoea cordofani</i>	Tabar	BL [#]	Convolvulaceae
<i>Corchorusfascicularis</i>	Rhodra	BL	Poaceae
<i>Trianthemapentandra</i>	Rabaa	BL	Aizoaceae
<i>Phyllanthusniruri</i>	Soreeb	BL	Phyllanthaceae
<i>Digeraalternifolia</i>	Lablab	BL	Amaranthaceae
<i>Euphorbia convulvoldes</i>	Labana	BL	Euphorbiaceae
<i>Aristolochia bracteolate</i>	Umglagel	BL	Poaceae
<i>Rhynchosiamemnonia</i>	Adana	BL	Leguminasae
<i>Ocimumbasilicum</i>	Rehan	BL	Laminaceae
<i>Portulacaoleracea</i>	Regla	BL	Phyllanthaceae
<i>Sonchuscornutus</i>	Molata	BL	Asteraceae
<i>Hibiscus esculentus</i>	Pamea	BL	Malvaceae
<i>Tribulusterrestris</i>	Derassa	BL	Zygophyllaceae
<i>Eclipta prostrate</i>	Tamer Elgnam	BL	Asteraceae
<i>Solanumdubium</i>	Gubain	BL	Streptophyta

⁺G: Grass weed

[#]BL: Broad-leaved weed

The obtained experimental results in Table (2) for plant cane crop in the two different experimental sites showed a significant difference at ($p < 0.05$) between different weed types weed density. All chemical herbicides treatments applied recorded better results in minimizing weed density compared to the un weeded treatment. Treatment W₄: (Metribuzin 5.21 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.57 l/ha) recorded significantly at ($p < 0.05$) the lowest weed density compared to the other chemical herbicide's treatments and the control in the two different experimental sites Fig 1 & Fig 2. The obtained results for weed density were similar to those of [17] who reported that good control of weeds decreases weed density and dry matter percent. These results also, confirmed to those of [18], who concluded that application of Metribuzin herbicide was found most effective in minimizing weeds density and dry matter than the control. The

obtained results also, agreed with [19], who reported that application of Metribuzin significantly reduced weed density and weed dry matter in sugarcane. Also, similar findings were also reported by [20].

Table (2): Weed density percent (WD%) in Sugarcane Research Farm at Guneid, Sudan

Weeds	Weed Type	Weed Density Percent (WD%)	
		Site one	Site two
<i>Brachariaercuriformis</i>	Grass	5.0 ^{ab}	13.3 ^a
<i>Echinochloa colonum</i>	Grass	5.5 ^a	18.7 ^a
<i>Ipomoea cordofani</i>	Broad-leaved	5.8 ^a	10.5 ^{ab}
<i>Corchorus fascicularis</i>	Broad-leaved	0.3 ^{bc}	3.4 ^{bc}
<i>Trianthem pentandra</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.0 ^c
<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.6 ^c
<i>Digera allternifolia</i>	Broad-leaved	0.3 ^{bc}	0.5 ^c
<i>Euphorbia convolvuloides</i>	Broad-leaved	0.2 ^{bc}	1.1 ^{bc}
<i>Aristolochia bracteolata</i>	Broad-leaved	0.13 ^{bc}	0.2 ^c
<i>Rhynchosiamemnonia</i>	Broad-leaved	1.1 ^{abc}	2.0 ^{bc}
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.0 ^c
<i>Portulacaoleracea</i>	Broad-leaved	0.1 ^c	0.2 ^c
<i>Sonchus cornutus</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.3 ^c
<i>Hibiscus esculentus</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.0 ^c
<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.1 ^c
<i>Eclipta prostrate</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.0 ^c
<i>Abutilon glaucum</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.0 ^c
<i>Solanum dubium</i>	Broad-leaved	0.0 ^c	0.1 ^c

The results obtained in Table (3) for weed control efficiency in plant cane crop in the two different experimental sites showed a significant difference at ($p < 0.05$) between different weed types. These results might be due to the control of initial weed growth due to the application of chemical herbicides, [10], and [1] and similar to that of [19] who reported high weed control efficiency (WCE%) as a result of the application of metribuzin herbicide in sugarcane crop. The increase in percentage inhibition of weeds by herbicides may be due to control of most types of weeds because of its use in the early stages of crop growth as well as late emergence of other types of weeds that makes them weak in growth and lower accumulation of dry matter due to low efficiency of photosynthesis because of the shading effect of sugarcane on weeds [21].

In general, application of the treatment which contain (Metribuzin + Pendimethalin) in plant cane crop recorded an excellent experimental result for all weed data parameters in minimizing weed density and weed dry matter percent and increasing control efficiency percent (WCE %) compared to the other treatments for plant cane in the two different experimental sites in the study.

Table (3): Weed flora and weed control efficiency percent (WCE%) in Sugarcane Research Farm at Guneid- Sudan

Weeds	Weed Type	Weed density percent (WD%)	
		Site one	Site two
<i>Brachariaercuriformis</i>	Grass	85.9 ^{abc}	51.1 ^{abc}
<i>Echinochloa colonum</i>	Grass	90.0 ^{ab}	63.015 ^{ab}
<i>Ipomoea cordofani</i>	Broad-leaved	69.6 ^{bc}	50.1 ^{abc}
<i>Corchorus fascicularis</i>	Broad-leaved	97.2 ^{ab}	71.5 ^{ab}
<i>Trianthem pentandra</i>	Broad-leaved	100.0 ^a	75.0 ^{ab}
<i>Phyllanthus niruri</i>	Broad-leaved	98.9 ^a	72.7 ^{ab}
<i>Digera allternifolia</i>	Broad-leaved	95.5 ^{ab}	69.2 ^{ab}
<i>Euphorbia convolvuloides</i>	Broad-leaved	92.5 ^{ab}	72.1 ^{ab}
<i>Aristolochia bracteolata</i>	Broad-leaved	36.6 ^d	0.0 ^c
<i>Rhynchosiamemnonia</i>	Broad-leaved	57.1 ^{cd}	31.2 ^{bc}
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Broad-leaved	100.0 ^a	100.0 ^a
<i>Portulacaoleracea</i>	Broad-leaved	73.1 ^{abc}	69.3 ^{ab}
<i>Sonchus cornutus</i>	Broad-leaved	98.6 ^{ab}	75.0 ^{ab}
<i>Hibiscus esculentus</i>	Broad-leaved	75.0 ^{abc}	100.0 ^a

Formatted: Font: Italic

<i>Tribulusterrestris</i>	Broad-leaved	100.0 ^a	75.0 ^{ab}
<i>Eclipta prostrate</i>	Broad-leaved	100.0 ^a	75.0 ^{ab}
<i>Abutilon glaucum</i>	Broad-leaved	100.0 ^a	75.0 ^{ab}
<i>Solanumdubium</i>	Broad-leaved	100.0 ^a	50.0 ^{abc}

Plant cane experimental results data related to weed density (Number/m²) in Table (4) showed that there was a significant difference between chemical herbicides treatments in the two different experimental sites. Treatment W₁: (un weeded - the control) recorded significantly at ($P \leq 0.05$) the higher grass weeds density values (103.4-98.1) and the higher broad-leaved weeds density values (73.9-81.7) in the two different experimental sites respectively. Treatment W₂: (Ametryne 3.8 l/ha + Atrazine 3.8 l/ha) recorded grass weeds density values (54.4-49.4) and broad-leaved weeds density values (11.3-46.4). Treatment W₃: (Atrazine 3.0 l/ha + Pendimethalin 2.9 l/ha) recorded grass weeds density values (2.3-3.4) and broad-leaved weeds density values (12.3-5.3) in the two different experimental sites respectively. Treatment W₄: (Metribuzin 5.21 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.57 l/ha) recorded significantly at ($P \leq 0.05$) the lowest grass weeds density values (0.4-11.9) and the lowest broad-leaved weeds density values (5.3-0.9) in the two different experimental sites respectively. The obtained results for weed density were similar to those of [17] who reported that good control of weeds decreases weed density and dry matter percent. These results also, confirmed to those of [18] who concluded that application of Metribuzin herbicide was found most effective in minimizing weeds density and dry matter than the control. The obtained results also, agreed with [19] who reported that application of Metribuzin significantly reduced weed density and weed dry matter in sugarcane. Also, similar findings were also reported by [3].

Table (4): Effect of chemical herbicides on plant cane weeds density

Treatments	Grass weeds		Broad-leaved weeds	
	Site one	Site two	Site one	Site two
W ₁	103.4 ^a	98.1 ^a	73.9 ^a	81.7 ^a
W ₂	54.4 ^b	49.4 ^b	41.3 ^b	46.4 ^b
W ₃	2.3 ^c	3.4 ^c	12.3 ^c	5.3 ^c
W ₄	0.4 ^c	0.6 ^c	5.3 ^c	0.9 ^c
Mean	40.0	37.9	18.2	33.8
LSD ($P < 0.05$)	35.8	35.8	4.7	37.4

W₁: Unweeded (control), W₂: Ametryne 3.8 L/ha + Atrazine 3.8 L/ha, W₃: Atrazine 3.0 l/ha + Pendimethalin 2.9 l/ha, W₄: Metribuzin 5.21 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.57 l/ha., G: Grasses, BL: Broad-leaved. Values sharing same letter(s) in a column do not differ at 5 % probability level.

Plant cane experimental results data related to weed control efficiency percent (WCE%) in Table (5) showed that there was a significant difference at ($P \leq 0.05$) between treatments. The treatment W₁: (un weeded - the control) recorded significantly at $P \leq 0.05$ the lowest grass weed control efficiency percent (WCE%) values (0.0-0.0%) and lowest broad leaved (WCE%) values (0.0-0.0 %) in the two different experimental sites respectively. Treatment W₂: (Atrazine 3.0 l/ha + Pendimethalin 2.9 l/ha) recorded grass WCE% values grass WCE % values (48.8-46.8%) and broad-leaved WCE % values (74.3-42.8%) in the two different experimental sites respectively. Treatment W₃: (Atrazine 3.0 L/ha + Pendimethalin 2.9 L/ha) recorded grass WCE % values (97.6-81.5%) and broad leaved WCE% values (72.1-72.5%) in the two different experimental sites respectively. Treatment W₄: (Metribuzin 5.21 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.57 l/ha) recorded significantly at ($P \leq 0.05$) the highest grass WCE% values (99.7-92.1%) and the highest broad-leaved WCE% values (87.8-78.1%) in the two different experimental sites, respectively. The results obtained for weed control efficiency in plant cane crop in the two different experimental sites might be due to the control of initial weed growth due to the application of chemical herbicides [10], and similar to that of [19] who reported high weed control efficiency (WCE %) as a result of the application of metribuzin herbicide in sugarcane. The increase in percentage inhibition of weeds by herbicides may be due to control of most types of weeds because of its use in the early stages of crop growth as well as late emergence of other types of weeds that makes them weak in growth and lower accumulation of dry matter due to low efficiency of photosynthesis because of the shading effect of sugarcane on weeds [21]. In general, application of the treatment which contain (Metribuzin + Pendimethalin) in plant crop recorded an excellent experimental result for weed data parameters in minimizing weed density and increasing control efficiency percent (WCE%) compared to the other treatments for plant cane crop in the two different experimental sites in the study.

Table (5): Effect chemical herbicides on plant cane weeds control efficiency percent

Treatments	Grass weeds	Broad-leaved weeds
------------	-------------	--------------------

	Site one	Site two	Site one	Site two
W ₁	0.0 ^c	0.0 ^b	0.0 ^c	0.0 ^b
W ₂	48.8 ^b	46.8 ^a	74.3 ^b	42.8 ^a
W ₃	97.6 ^a	81.5 ^a	72.1 ^b	77.5 ^a
W ₄	99.7 ^a	82.1 ^a	87.8 ^a	78.1 ^a
Mean	61.5	52.6	58.5	49.6
LSD (P _≤ 0.05)	8.2	36.6	8.5	37.4

W₁: Un weeded (control), W₂: Ametryne 3.8 L/ha + Atrazine 3.8 L/ha, W₃: Atrazine 3.0 l/ha + Pendimethalin 2.9 l/ha, W₄: Metribuzin 5.21 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.57 l/ha., leaved.

Values sharing same letter(s) in a column do not differ at 5 % probability level



Fig1. Un weeded treatment (the control)



Fig2. W₂: Metribuzin 5.3 L/ha Pendimethalin 3.6 L/ha.

Provide few suitable recommendations based on the findings! Simultaneously, co-relate your findings with others!

4. CONCLUSION

In this study the weed flora for plant cane crop in the two different experimental sites identified about 15 weed species classified into two categories; grasses which ranged between 13 to 17 % of the total weed and the rest were broad-leaved weed which ranged between 87 to 83 % . The broad leaves weed were dominance over grass weed . The treatment W₄: (Metribuzin 5.21 l/ha + Pendimethalin 3.57 l/ha) recorded significantly at ($p < 0.05$) with the lowest weed density, and the highest weed control efficiency percent compared to the other chemical herbicide's treatments.

What about further research!

Author(s) can elaborate conclusion part and provide few suitable/ effective concluding remarks within 1-3 sentences at the end.

Formatted: Font: Italic

REFERENCES

1. Kundu, R. Mondal, M.Garai, S. Poddar, R. and Banerjee, S. Efficacy of herbicides against broad-spectrum weed floras and their effect on non-target soil micro-organisms and productivity in sugarcane (*Saccharum* sp). *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*.(2020), 39 (2): 23–32.
2. Makkawi, A.A. Evaluation of some pre-emergence herbicides mixtures for weed control in sugarcane. Paper presented to the 84th Meeting of the National Pests and Diseases Committee .Agricultural Research Crop. Medani - Sudan(2011).
3. Singh, R., Shyam, R., Bhatnagar, A., Singh, V.K and Kumar, J.. Bio-efficacy of Herbicides applied at 2 to 4 leaf stage of weeds in sugarcane after second Intercultural. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*.(2011), 43 (3&4): 145-148.
4. Khan, B., Jama M. &Azim, H. Effect of weeds on cane yield and content of sugarcane. *Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research*, (2004), 10(1-2): 47-50.
5. Kaur, N., M. S. Bhullar and G. Gill. Weed management in sugarcane-canola intercropping systems in northern India. *Field Crops Res.*(2016) 188: 1-9.
6. Shehata, S.A., Abozien, H.F, Abdel-Gawad, K.F, Elkhawaga, F.A.. Safe weed Management methods as alternative to synthetic herbicides in potato. *Res J Pharm, Biol.Sci.* (2017), 8(2):1148–1156.
7. Chikoye D, Udensi E, Udensi A, Fontem L. Evaluation of a new formulation of atrazine and metolachlor mixture for weed control in maize in Nigeria. *Crop Protection*.2005; 24:1016-1020.
8. Almubarak N.F., and Srivastava, T. K. Effect of Weed Control Methods on Growth and Development of Weeds in Sugarcane *Saccharum officinarum* L. Fields. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural Sciences* 2015; 1(3): 49-54. doi: 10.11648/j.ijaas.20150103.11
9. Hussaina, A., Khakwania, A. Tanveer, A., Khana, EA., Mohammad S.(2020). Optimizing efficacy of acetochlor + atrazine and dicamba at various doses to manage *Conyza stricta* L. in sugarcane Balocha Planta Daninha 2020;38:e020220829 - <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-835820203801000801/8>
10. da Silva, P.V., Viana, H. R.M. Monquero, P.A. Ribeiro, N.M. 4, Neto, W.P., Inacio, E.M., Christoffoleti, P.J. de Carvalho Dias. R. Influence of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) straw on weed germination control. *IFCAUNCujo*. 2021. 53(1): 220-233.
11. Souza, J.R, Perecin, D, Azania, CAM, Schiavetto, A.R, Pizzo, I.V, Candido, L.S. Tolerance of sugarcane cultivars to herbicides applied in post-emergence. *Bragantia*.(2009), 68: 941- 951.
12. Hamada, A.A. Investigation on the germination requirements and competitive effects of weed- Acase study of the Rahad Scheme – The Sudan – plits 1992/10(1), 155 pp.
13. AbdelHalim, M., Wani. P. and Abbas. I.A. Evaluation of Ametrinco 50% SC (new formulation of ametryne) in mixture with Atrazico 50% SC (new formulation of atrazine) for pre-emergence weed control in sugarcane. Proceeding of the 84th Meeting of the National Pests and Diseases Committee, ARC, Dr. Yassin Idris Conference Hall, (2011). Wad Madani, Sudan.
14. Hajebi, A, Das, TK, Arora, A., Singh, S.B, Hajebi, F.. Herbicides tank-mixes effects on weeds and productivity and profitability of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) under conventional and zero tillage. *Sc.Hortic*.(2016), 198:191–196.

Comment [a5]:

1. Check each and every reference according to the Journal's guidelines again.
2. Rearrange the reference in an alphabetical order.
3. Check both text and reference section simultaneously.
4. Should follow the Journal style/ format.
5. Cite all the references properly.
6. Use more reference.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font: Helvetica

Formatted: Font: Italic, No underline, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Italic, No underline, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Italic, No underline, Font color: Auto

15. Gomez K.A., Gomez A.A., Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2nd edition, A Wiley Inter. Sci. publication. John Wiley and Son, New York (1984).

16. Waghmare, P.R.; R. V. Khandare; B.H. Jeon and S. P. Govindwar. Enzymatic hydrolysis of biologically pretreated sorghum husk for bioethanol production. *Biofuel Res. J.*, (2018),19: 846-853.

Formatted: Font: Italic, No underline, Font color: Auto

17 Lal., M, and Singh., A.K. Multiple ratooning for high cane productivity and sugar recovery. In: Proceedings of National Seminar on varietal planning for improving productivity and sugar recovery in sugarcane held at G.B.P.U.A. & T. Pantnagar. (2008),14 (15): 62-68.

18. Pratap, T., Singh, R., Pal, R., Yadaw, S and Singh, V. Integrated weed management Studies in sugarcane ratoon. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 2013, 45 (4): 257-259.

19. Mishra, M.M., Mishra, S.S., Mishra, K.N and Nayak, P.K. Effect of different weed Management practices on yield of sugarcane ratoon. *Indian Journal of Sugarcane Technology*. 2012,27 (2): 76-78.

20 .Singh R; Kumar J; Kumar P; Pratap T; Singh V.K; Pal, R. and Panwar, S. Effect of Integrated weed management practices on sugarcane ratoon and associated weeds. *Indian J. Weed Science* (2012),44:144-146.

21.El-Shafai, A.M.A.; A.A.O. Fakkar and M.A. Bekheet Effect of row spacing and some weed control treatments on growth, quality and yield of sugarcane. *International J. of Academic Research*,(2010), 2(4):297-305.

Formatted: Font: Italic, No underline, Font color: Auto

UNDER PEER REVIEW