

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_98239
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Different Levels of Nitrogen on Growth and Yield of Cauliflower (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>botrytis</i>) Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Cauliflower is regarded as an economically important crop having high market value and potentiality of off season production, cauliflower is a good source of dietary fiber, vitamin C, and minerals. 2- Response of Certain Different Levels of Nitrogen on Growth and Yield of Cauliflower (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>botrytis</i>) Varieties 3-Yes 4-Yes 5-Discussion needs more clarification that agreed with the author and that not agreed by names and why. 6- yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Care of agricultural support needs more research to reach satisfaction. Needs correction of discussion as mentioned Abbreviation must be nominated before mentioning. Conclusion needs to add further studies needed to add more than 130 kg N/ha	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samir Abd Elaziz Koheil
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt