

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number	<b>Ms_AIR_98171</b>
Title of the Manuscript	<b>Management of medical records at the surgical department of a tertiary hospital in Blackpool, UK: Results of an internal audit.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Highly important Yes Yes  Yes Yes  Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Grammar and punctuation must be corrected Check typos and follow journal guidelines	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It's highly important topic to publish. Authors can also address issues like cases that get published by head of depts who also include names of their students, but without including the name of the actual immediate subordinate who principally operated the case and maintained the records. Also sometimes the journals also accept such studies where the picture is showing the work of the actual principal operator of the case whose name is not even mentioned in the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Paramjot Kaur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Baba Jaswant Singh Dental College Hospital and Research Institute, India</b>