

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_93144
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnic Conflict between Oromo-Gumuz Since 2008, Western Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The theme of work is very important in today's society. The abstract is well written and presents the research content. Check the last sentence. In the conclusion, one should return to the objectives and explain how they were achieved. Some statements are made without citing the documentary source. There are many repeated phrases. Review the Bibliographic References. Check grammar and punctuation. Standardize text formatting. The text should be proofread to correct grammatical and punctuation problems.	
Minor REVISION comments	The text must be written impersonally (I and MY pronouns were used). The acronym must be used after the first citation in full. (FGD and others). There are words, like manneandinglead (p. 12), that I don't know. Check the spelling. Review the text. There are several meaningless sentences.	
Optional/General comments	The topic of work is very important in the contemporary society. The author must be persistent in his investigation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Irene da Fonseca e Sá
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil