

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_97951
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Smoking Behavior of Students at SMA Negeri 1 Montasik Aceh Besar in 2022
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, the article addresses an issue important to the health of people</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Very difficult to read. I have had to virtually rewrite the sentences to make the abstract readable</b> <b>The article is well structured but the very difficult to read. There is so much repetition. For instance, what the author has as problems are repeated as objectives. The sentences are very long further compounding the reading; punctuations are poorly done.</b>  <b>It could have been if the author was aware of how to express the issues. Tables are not properly done and discussed. It is difficult to infer how the results are obtained.</b>  <b>Only 10% of the references are between 2015 and 2023. Analysis of Smoking Behavior Risk Factors in Adolescent through Health Belief Model Approaches</b> <b>Vina Yulia Anhar1*, Syamsul Arifin2, Nur Laily2, Fauzie Rahman2, Agus Muhammad Ridwan3, Bohari Bohari4</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Not as it is presently. The article needs the attention of language editors to make the article readable. As it is, the author(s) has(have) not been able to express themselves.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Njoku Ola Ama
Department, University & Country	South Africa