

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98327
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Synthesis and Characterization of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles using Tecoma Stans Plant Leaves
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Manuscripts is important for scientific community. Good work as well as green Chemistry. Title is suitable for research work Yes comprehensive Structure on the manuscripts quality is very poor Diagram are not Clarified so all the diagram are corrected now XRD is not corrected change now. Reference is not properly put in the manuscripts so check carefully all references and add last 5 year reference in manuscripts.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language was not in scientific check properly	
Optional/General comments	All diagram are not clarified so change	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sachin V. Bangale
Department, University & Country	G. M. Vedak College of Science, India