

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98327
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Synthesis and Characterization of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles using Tecoma Stans Plant Leaves
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, the manuscript throws light on the plant Tecoma stans, that can be further researched for its bioactivity.</p> <p>I would suggest to remove the words "plant leaves". Instead we can use "Green Synthesis and Characterization of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles using Tecoma Stans"</p> <p>The Aim in the abstract is completely misleading. Needs to be rephrased properly.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes the references are sufficient, but there a few errors Ex. Ref no: 5 – The usage of et al., in references should be avoided Ref no: 13 - [No title found]. Int J Pharm Clin Res.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are many flaws in the language and the ways they were expressed. A thorough verification is need	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs a minor revision before being accepted in the journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. Yuvaraj
Department, University & Country	Vel Tech High Tech Dr. Rangarajan Dr. Sakunthala Engineering College, India