

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b> |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JESBS_97545</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>School culture in Tunisian schools</b>                    |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                             |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b><br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <b>Yes it is.</b><br><br><b>Yes it is.</b><br><br><b>The Abstract is not comprehensive enough.</b><br><br>There should be a subsection for statement of the problem, aim and objectives, and Research Questions under introduction.<br>Also, under methodology, the author should indicate the technique for data analysis.<br><br>Most of the references are obsolete. There's need for more review of literature.<br><br>There is need to edit the grammatical construction of the content. |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION comments</b><br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | It should be revised.   |   |
| <b>Optional/General comments</b>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Olufunmilayo D. Ayodele</b>        |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Osun State University, Nigeria</b> |