

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_97545</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>School culture in Tunisian schools</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes. This paper is a highly valuable contribution to the field of physical education as it provides a deep understanding of the background of Tunisian physical education teachers. However, it is closer to an introductory article than a paper. You should add what you learned by showing this data.</b>  2. <b>I think a more specific title would be better. For example, "On the Physical Education Pedagogy in Tunisia" or something.</b>  3. <b>Yes</b> 4. <b>Yes</b> 5. <b>Yes</b> 6. <b>Yes</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	I'm not a native speaker, so I can't judge.	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Hiroko Kanoh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Arts and Sciences, Yamagata University, Japan</b>