Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Engineering Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_97941**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Dual-Band T-Slot Microstrip Patch Antenna for Sub-6GHz 5G Application**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes. The author proposed the D**ual-Band T-Slot Microstrip Patch Antenna for sub-6GHz 5G application. **Need to modify based on the operating frequency.** **YES** **YES. But the author need to add more sub sections to improve the quality of the manuscript.** **NO.** **NO. The author needs to add more recent antenna papers after 2020. The reference section is very poor. References should be more than 20 counts.** **1. As well, the survey part of the work should be modify based on recent antenna works (2020, 2021, 2022, 2023).** **2. Before the conclusion, the author may add a section as " comparison of proposed structure with existing works and compare recent antenna papers related to the proposed frequency.** **3. Author add 1D image of the directivity, then it is easy to find the directivity angle of the proposed antenna.** **4. The author states proposed antenna is applicable for 5 G applications. But the frequency band of 5G is 24 to 54 GHz. So proposed antenna is not suitable for 5G.** **5. What is the reason for choosing T shape structure?** **6. The paper is very simple and it discuss the average antenna output.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The author needs to improve the quality of the English in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	NIL	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**D. Sathish Kumar**
Department, University & Country	**Anna University, India**