

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98342
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodegradation of crude oil contaminated soil in Sudan using Sudanese plant Acacia seyal Del
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This work shows interesting results for phytoremediation, but the manuscript needs a strong revision of the quality of the English, improving the presentation of all figures and the wording of the results. Even the abstract needs to be rewritten for clarity.</p> <p>English writing needs to be corrected. Correct grammar. Write the information in short sentences. Check formatting in all the article.</p> <p>Section 2.3.2. no correlates with the article. Incomplete methodology.</p> <p>The results need to be presented in greater clarity and detail.</p> <p>The formatting of all graphs should be improved, such as the identification of axes (including units), identification of series (decimal values).</p> <p>The abundance axis of the chromatograms must be adjusted.</p> <p>Include chromatogram of the control at 2 months for a correct comparison.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NO	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debora Conde Molina
Department, University & Country	National Technological University, Argentina