

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_97833
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrating 21st-century industrial expectation into the HND graphic design curriculum: linkage with key partners for engagement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Yes. Yes. Yes. Yes.</p> <p>1. Although the paper touches on the history and background of TVET in Ghana, it would be helpful to provide additional context and background. 2. Though the paper's knowledge cutoff is 2021, a few of the cited sources are quite old, and it would be beneficial to add more current sources to ensure that the information provided is current. 3. The paper discusses several courses and programs, but it would be advantageous to include more detailed examples to support its arguments. For instance, providing specific instances of successful TVET programs in other nations and the lessons Ghana can learn from them would be helpful.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Overall, the paper has potential, and with some revisions, it could be strengthened to provide a more comprehensive and insightful analysis of TVET in Ghana and the challenges facing the HND Commercial Art Programme in Graphic Design (CAPGD).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Basilus Redan Werang
Department, University & Country	Ganesha University of Education, Indonesia