Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJORR_98376**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Revolutionizing Osteoarthritis Treatment: The Synergy of iPSCs and Extracellular Vesicles-Based Acellular Therapies for Joint Tissue Repair**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1. It can be.** **2. Yes.** **3. Yes** **4. No. Title of references is missed, article is not adjusted, grammatical and punctuation mistakes are there.** **5. Yes, somewhat, as in one of the subtitles they discuss tDSC therapy for OA but this is not related to the title of the article.** **6. Yes, they are sufficient but recent I don't know as the dates are not mentioned. They are not written in Vancouver or Harvard styles.** **7. There are repetition of the materials. Please check**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	1. Yes, it is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	Use of the tables or graphs for comparing different articles is a very useful way of conveying the information	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Qurrat-Ul-Ain Bukhari**
Department, University & Country	**Sir Syed College of Medical Sciences, Pakistan**