Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOB_97929**
Title of the Manuscript:	**LENGTH WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTOR OF Chrysichthys longidorsalis IN THE SANAGA RIVER BASIN, CENTRAL REGION, CAMEROON.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. Very few studies has been carried out on this species, this manuscript will be helpful to scientific community after revising it with necessary scientific standard 2. suggest an alternative title: **LENGTH WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTOR OF *Chrysichthys longidorsalis* (RISCH & THYS VAN DEN AUDENAERDE,1981) Landed at SANAGA RIVER BASIN, CAMEROON.** 3. Yes, suggested to reduce lengthy sentences of abstract as it can be revised short form. **4.** Partially improvement is required and detailed sub sections wise corrections highlighted in the text of word document for necessary revise. **5.** Partially accepted work is good and further suggested to add this fish species original sampling site photos, length measurements, preservation of samples for further studies, male and female identification via dissection 6. Many references double time written in reference section and few references added in text but not in references, further it is recommended to revise not properly cited references as per This journal reference citing guidelines. i) It is recommended to once again see applied formula for data analysis especially Fulton condition factor ii) Internal Maturity stags of male and female of this if not availlabler atleast Species identification characteristics at different body sizes can be added with original Photos taken during this study.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Quality of English suggested to little improve for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Few references given in text and missing in references Eg: FAO, 2009	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Bhukya Bhaskar**
Department, University & Country	**RGCA, India**