

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_98198
Title of the Manuscript:	Learning and Communication Technologies: Future of Viable Education
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The issue raised in the manuscript is always relevant in the debates of education policy and teaching/learning in schools. The title of the article is rather vague and does not clearly identifies the content of this manuscript. The abstract is comprehensive and the structure of the paper is appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct however not complete in my opinion due to the small sample of the publications analysed (20 articles) which are not sufficient to draw the conclusions presented.</p> <p>The references are recent but they have to be stated following a system, i.e. APA</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article	The language of the manuscript is proper for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The study reported in the manuscript selects the data analyzed by searching Google scholar for articles written on learning and communication technologies. However, since the sample is rather small (20 articles) the selection process i.e. keywords or other identifiers used as well as the selection criteria have to be explicitly identified.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dimitris Chasapis
Department, University & Country	National And Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece