

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_97957
Title of the Manuscript:	THE STRATEGY FOR THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DIVING ECOTOURISM MANAGEMENT IN THE CONSERVATION AREA OF THE DAMPIER STRAIT WATERS, RAJA AMPAT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes to the community of Raja Ampat Yes Mention as secondary data instead of instrument used for data collection gathered. Yes Yes Used references between years of 1986 – 2017. It is advisable to have 5 years current as references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor grammatical error and advice for proofreading	
Optional/General comments	Clarify on the method whether secondary data collection or primary data with mention the instruments used.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wan Nazriah Binti Wan Nawawi
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia