

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_97940
Title of the Manuscript:	A Circular-Shaped Antenna Array for Wide-Band Millimeter-Wave Application
Type of the Article	Manuscript

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Should improve	
Optional/General comments	1. Required Performance analysis graph that must be justified with performance results. Result oriented paper must have supporting table & figures. 2. Every Reference must be cited inside the paper. Citations should be sequential ascending order in number format. 3. Rewrite equation using equation editor tool or Mathtype. 4. Table must be drawn as editable table format. 5. Maintain minimum 15 recent year paper	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I. Rexiline Sheeba
Department, University & Country	Sathyabama Institute of science and Technology, India