

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97680
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF ABIOTIC FACTORS ON INCIDENCE OF INSECT PESTS IN RICE FIELD
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This type of research has impact on scientific arena. Incidence and Abundance of insect pests in rice field and their correlation with environmental factors were analysed in this manuscript. It's an important effort. 2. Title of the article is suitable. However, I made some necessary changes. 3. Abstract is Okay. I made essential changes. 4. Yes, its okay. Correlation graphs need to present. In Fig 1 Line graph thickness need to decrease. 5. Yes, it's correct enough. 6. Yes, many of the references are recent. See the attachment	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language/English quality is not bad.	
Optional/General comments	Accordingly corrections of changes and comments made by me will make the manuscript publishable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahi Imam Mollah
Department, University & Country	Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh