

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_98155
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxicological Assessment of Wistar rats fed with feed fortified with breadfruit.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnf.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Author need to modify tittle It should be the representative of entire manuscript and descriptive</li> <li>• Author need to give abstract in one section</li> <li>• Author need to give abbreviation and as possible graphical abstract</li> <li>• Author also follow the taxonomic writing skill genus and species</li> <li>• Citation method is improper author also focus on the uniqueness</li> <li>• Material methods section should be citation missing</li> <li>• Result and discuss is in same section of each parameter</li> <li>• Result is very short</li> <li>• Figure and table should at end of manuscript</li> <li>• Discussion section citation is improper</li> <li>• Reference should be unique format</li> <li>• As organism using ethical issue may arise so author need clarify ethical issue clearly</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Ethical issue clarify	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukul Machhindra Barwant
Department, University & Country	Sanjivani Arts Commerce and Science College, India